SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 7 July 2017 Accepted: 28 November 2017 Published online: 12 December 2017

OPEN Development of Erasin: a chromone-based STAT3 inhibitor which induces apoptosis in **Erlotinib-resistant lung cancer cells**

Christian Lis¹, Stefan Rubner¹, Martin Roatsch¹, Angela Berg¹, Tyler Gilcrest², Darwin Fu², Elizabeth Nguyen², Anne-Marie Schmidt¹, Harald Krautscheid³, Jens Meiler² & Thorsten Berg¹

Inhibition of protein-protein interactions by small molecules offers tremendous opportunities for basic research and drug development. One of the fundamental challenges of this research field is the broad lack of available lead structures from nature. Here, we demonstrate that modifications of a chromonebased inhibitor of the Src homology 2 (SH2) domain of the transcription factor STAT5 confer inhibitory activity against STAT3. The binding mode of the most potent STAT3 inhibitor Erasin was analyzed by the investigation of structure-activity relationships, which was facilitated by chemical synthesis and biochemical activity analysis, in combination with molecular docking studies. Erasin inhibits tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT3 with selectivity over STAT5 and STAT1 in cell-based assays, and increases the apoptotic rate of cultured NSCLC cells in a STAT3-dependent manner. This ability of Erasin also extends to HCC-827 cells with acquired resistance against Erlotinib, a clinically used inhibitor of the EGF receptor. Our work validates chromone-based acylhydrazones as privileged structures for antagonizing STAT SH2 domains, and demonstrates that apoptosis can be induced in NSCLC cells with acquired Erlotinib resistance by direct inhibition of STAT3.

Since protein-protein interactions mediate most biological processes, their inhibition by small molecules has tremendous potential for use in the study of cellular pathways, and for therapeutic interventions¹⁻⁵. One of the fundamental difficulties associated with inhibition of protein-protein interactions, however, is the broad lack of known natural product-based lead structures. Natural products are an excellent source of structural inspiration for bioactive compounds, since they have co-evolved with the spectrum of animal and plant proteins⁶. Natural product-based scaffolds that can be tailored to target individual members of subclasses of protein-protein interaction domains would be of invaluable assistance in defining the chemical space of protein-protein interaction inhibitors.

STATs are a family of latent cytoplasmic transcription factors that carry signals from the cell surface to the nucleus^{7,8}. All of the seven STAT family members known to date have been shown to play a role in human disease⁸. There is overwhelming biological evidence that targeting the transcription factors STAT3 and STAT5 with small molecules could interfere with a substantial proportion of human tumors⁹⁻¹¹, and a number of potent small-molecule inhibitors of STAT3¹²⁻¹⁶ and STAT5¹⁷⁻²¹ have been published. We have previously presented compounds based on the chromone scaffold, which is found in flavones and isoflavones, as the first small molecule inhibitors of the protein-protein interaction domain [the Src homology 2 (SH2) domain] of STAT5^{22,23}.

Results and Discussion

The STAT family display a high degree of homology. In order to assess whether the scaffold of the most potent STAT5 inhibitor 1 (Table 1, entry 1)^{22,23} could be utilized for inhibition of other STAT family members, we explored the effect of substitutions at the 6-position of the chromone ring. Vilsmeyer-Haack formylation of

¹Leipzig University, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Johannisallee 29, 04103, Leipzig, Germany. ²Vanderbilt University, Center for Structural Biology, 465 21st Ave South, BIOSCI/MRBIII, Nashville, TN, 37221, USA. ³Leipzig University, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Johannisallee 29, 04103, Leipzig, Germany. Christian Lis, Stefan Rubner and Martin Roatsch contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.B. (email: tberg@uni-leipzig.de)

No	Structure	STAT1 app. IC ₅₀ [μM] or inhibition [%]	STAT3 app. IC ₅₀ [µM] or inhibition [%]	STAT5b app. IC ₅₀ [µM] or inhibition [%]
1		$30\pm1\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$	81±4	23±3
2		63±6	74±2	58±1
3	C C	32±11	40±3	$31\pm4\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$
4	Br	$36\pm6\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$	25±1	$33\pm7\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$
5		$12\pm3\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$	$40\pm2\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$	32±24
6	N H. N C	58 ± 10	33±6	26±3
7		39±2	22±1	52±12
8		24±4	9.7±1.8	$32\pm2\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$
9		$36\pm4\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$	45±5% inhibition at 80μM	no inhibition at 80 µM
10		$36\pm2\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$	35±5% inhibition at 80μM	no inhibition at 80 µM
11		$19\pm2\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$	65±1	30±7% inhibition at 80μM
12		$3\pm3\%$ inhibition at $80\mu\text{M}$	14±2% inhibition at 80μM	no inhibition at 80 µM
8a	or to to	15.0±1.4	8.9±0.8	27.7±1.5
9a		10.7±1.1	5.3±0.4	34.8±4.2

Table 1. Activities of test compounds in fluorescence polarization (FP)-based competitive binding assays.See the Supporting Information for details. Mean values \pm standard deviations (s.d.) from three independentexperiments are given.

.....

2-hydroxy acetophenones led to 3-formyl chromones, which were subsequently converted to the acyl hydrazones by reaction with hydrazides (Fig. 1). The target molecules were tested for their abilities to inhibit binding of fluorophore-labeled peptides to the SH2 domains of STAT1²⁴, STAT3²⁵, and STAT5b²⁶ in assays based on fluorescence polarization (FP). The more distantly related SH2 domain of the tyrosine kinase Lck served as additional specificity control^{27,28}.

Replacement of the hydrogen in the 6-position of the chromone ring of 1 with a halogen led to a systematic increase in activity against STAT3 with increasing halogen size, with a concomitant decrease in activity against STAT5 (Table 1). Apparent IC₅₀ values of 1 and its fluoro (2)²², chloro (3), and bromo (4) derivatives against STAT3 decreased from $81 \pm 4 \mu M$ (1) to $74 \pm 2 \mu M$ (2), $40 \pm 3 \mu M$ (3), and $25 \pm 1 \mu M$ (4), respectively.

Introduction of alkyl substituents larger than a methyl group (5) also had a distinct effect on the activity profiles of the compounds. Apparent IC_{50} -values of the ethyl (6)²², isopropyl (7), and *tert*-butyl (8) derivatives



Figure 1. Synthesis of chromone derivatives targeting STAT SH2 domains. i) POCl₃, DMF, 0 °C to RT, 1–2 h; ii) R²(CO)NHNH₂, EtOH/H₂O/HOAc, 4–5 h, reflux or EtOH/H₂O/CHCl₃, RT, 1–24 h.



Figure 2. (a) Activity of 8 in FP-based assays. Error bars represent standard deviations from three independent experiments. (b) Docking pose of 8 in complex with STAT3. The Figure was created with PyMOL⁵¹.

decreased from $33 \pm 6 \,\mu$ M (6) to $22 \pm 1 \,\mu$ M (7) and $9.7 \pm 1.8 \,\mu$ M (8) (Table 1, Fig. 2a). Gain in activity against STAT3 by introduction of alkyl groups was associated with a lesser gain in activity against STAT1 and a concomitant loss in activity against STAT5b (Table 1, Fig. 2a). None of the compounds showed significant activity against the more distantly related SH2 domain of the tyrosine kinase Lck²⁷ (Supplementary Table S1).

Flexible docking of compounds **1**, **4**, **6**, **7**, and **8** to the STAT3 SH2 domain²⁹ using RosettaLigand³⁰ identified four clusters of highly populated binding poses for all five docked compounds (Supplementary Fig. S1). The binding poses in cluster 3 show a binding pocket for substituents at the 6-position of the chromone ring (Supplementary Fig. S1). This pocket, which is delineated by Pro639 and the hydrophobic components of the side chains of Glu612 and Ser613, is predicted to be occupied by the *tert*-butyl group of **8** (Fig. 2b). Derivatives **9** and **10** bearing a *tert*-pentyl and a phenyl group, respectively, displayed much weaker activity than **8**. These observations are consistent with a defined binding pocket for hydrophobic substituents at the 6-position, suitable for a *tert*-butyl group but not a larger substituent, and rule out non-specific hydrophobic effects as the underlying cause of the increased activities against STAT3. The predominantly hydrophobic nature of the binding pocket is in line with the lower activities of the methoxy-substituted compound **11** and the nitro group-bearing compound **12**. Docking of **8** into the SH2 domains of STAT1 and STAT5, starting from the pose of **8** as observed in STAT3, followed by gradient based minimization with ligand and receptor flexibility, revealed substantial clashes with the STAT5b SH2 domain, and lesser clashes with the STAT1 SH2 domain (Supplementary Fig. S2). This is consistent with the experimentally determined selectivity profile of **8** (Fig. 2a, Table 1).

No	Structure	STAT3 app. IC ₅₀ [µM] or inhibition [%]	STAT1 app. IC ₅₀ [µM] or inhibition [%]
8		9.7±1.8	23±6
13		14±2	35±4
14	HO N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	19±1	77±16
15	MeO H N N Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	26±5	$34\pm4\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$
16		$42\pm2\%$ at $80\mu M$	$15\pm2\%$ at 80 μM
17		14±1	49±2
18		39±4	$32\pm0.1\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$
19		50±7	$9\pm4\%$ inhibition at $80\mu M$
20		$31\pm8\%$ at $80\mu M$	10±5% inhibition at 80μM
21		$20\pm2\%$ at $80\mu M$	12±4% inhibition at 80μM
22	S. H. N C	$9\pm3\%$ at $80\mu M$	n. d.
23		$4\pm3\%$ at 80 μM	n. d.

Table 2. Activities of test compounds in FP assays against the SH2 domains of STAT3 and STAT1. See the Supporting Information for details. n.d.: not determined. Mean values \pm standard deviations (s.d.) from three independent experiments are given.

The STAT3 binding pose indicates that the acyl hydrazone moiety of compound 8 lies in a narrow channel, which is not visible in the X-ray crystal structure of STAT3 bound to a phosphotyrosine-containing peptide segment (Supplementary Fig. S3)²⁹. The predicted (E)-trans-configuration of the acyl hydrazone moiety in the protein-bound state was also observed in the X-ray structure of crystals of 8 grown from ethyl acetate / hexane (Supplementary Fig. S4). Substantial conformational changes of the protein are required for ligand binding (Supplementary Fig. S3), a process which can account for the observed time-dependence of inhibition by 8 (Supplementary Fig. S5). While substitution of the pyridyl moiety of 8 for phenyl (13) para-hydroxyphenyl (14), or para-methoxyphenyl (15) (Table 2) was accompanied by only a small loss in activity, a meta-methoxy substituent (16) markedly decreased activity, presumably for steric reasons. Substitution of the pyridyl ring for electron-rich, five-membered heteroaromatic rings is tolerated (17-19). In contrast, a para-fluoro (20) or a para-chloro substituent (21) on the phenyl ring strongly reduced binding. This behavior is consistent with hydrogen bonding between the molecules' carbonyl group and the backbone amide of Gln635 as proposed by the docking studies (Fig. 2b), which is expected to suffer from electron-withdrawing substituents on the phenyl ring. Further evidence for hydrogen bonding arises from the inactivity of derivative 22, in which the carbonyl group has been deleted. Substitution of the carbonyl by a sulfonyl group (compound 23) is also not tolerated, indicating specific recognition of 8 by STAT3. Another hydrogen bond is suggested by the docking studies (Fig. 2b) between the side chain of STAT3 Glu594 and the acyl hydrazone moiety of 8. The existence of this hydrogen bond is supported by the three-fold lower activity of the STAT3 Glu594Ala mutant (app. $IC_{50} = 27 \pm 1 \mu M$, Supplementary Fig. S5) as compared to wild-type STAT3.

The formation of acyl hydrazones from aldehydes is a reversible reaction. In order to analyze whether formation of the aldehyde by hydrolysis of the acyl hydrazone may play a role in the observed inhibitory activities in



Figure 3. (a) Canonical STAT signaling pathway. An inhibitor of a STAT SH2 domain prevents phosphorylation of STATs at the conserved tyrosine residue C-terminal of the SH2 domain, thereby inhibiting STAT-mediated signal transduction. Effect of **8** on (**b**) IL-6-induced STAT3 phosphorylation in HepG2 cells, (**c**) IFN-γ-induced STAT1 phosphorylation in HepG2 cells, and (**d**) STAT5 phosphorylation in K562 cells. Cropped blots are displayed; full-length blots are presented in Supplementary Fig. S15.

FP, we tested the *tert*-butyl substituted aldehyde **8a**, which represents the synthetic precursor of the most active compound **8**, and the *tert*-pentyl substituted aldehyde **9a**, the precursor of the poorly active control compound **9**, against STAT3 (Table 1). Both aldehydes are active, but aldehyde **9a** displayed higher activity against STAT3 (app. $IC_{50} = 5.3 \pm 0.4 \mu$ M) than **8a** (app. $IC_{50} = 8.9 \pm 0.8 \mu$ M). The strongly divergent relative activities of the aldehydes **8a** and **9a** compared to the acyl hydrazones **8** and **9** imply that the activity of the acyl hydrazones is not a consequence of aldehyde formation. In addition, incubation of **8** in deuterated phosphate buffer (pD = 7.9, supplemented with DMSO-*d*₆) for 24 h showed no sign of hydrolysis to the aldehyde **8a** in ¹H-NMR studies (Supplementary Fig. S6).

In order to assess the effect of the most potent chromone **8** on STAT1, STAT3 and STAT5 activity in cells, we analyzed the phosphorylation state of a conserved tyrosine residue C-terminal of the STAT SH2 domain, which is dependent on the function of the SH2 domain. An inhibitor of a STAT SH2 domain prevents phosphorylation of STATs at this conserved tyrosine residue, thereby inhibiting STAT-mediated signal transduction (Fig. 3a). Consistent with its activity in the FP assay, **8** inhibited interleukin (IL)-6-stimulated STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation in HepG2 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3b). Interferon (IFN)-γ-stimulated phosphorylation of STAT1 Tyr701 is inhibited to a lesser extent (Fig. 3c). In contrast, tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT5 in K562 cells is not inhibited (Fig. 3d). The activity profile in cells thus reflects the selectivity profile seen *in vitro* (Fig. 2a, Table 1), supporting the notion that cellular activities are mediated by functional inhibition of the STAT SH2 domains.





Figure 4. (a) Rationale for targeting STAT3. (b) Western Blot analysis of STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation. Cropped blots are displayed; full-length blots are presented in Supplementary Fig. S15. Effect of 8 and 9 on the increase in the apoptotic rate of (c) MDA-MB-231 cells (n=3), (d) parental HCC-827 cells (n=3), (e) MDA-MB-453 cells (n = 3), and (f) Erlotinib-resistant HCC-827 cells (n = 4) relative to control cells treated with DMSO only. Error bars represent standard deviations. Numbers on top of the bars indicate the p-values (t-test, two-tailed, paired). (g) Western Blot analysis of STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation in parental HCC-827 cells and (h) in Erlotinib-resistant HCC-827 cells. Cropped blots are displayed; full-length blots are presented in Supplementary Fig. S15.

STAT3 is constitutively activated in many human cancers⁹, including more than 50% of human breast and lung cancers³¹. Inhibition of STAT3 signaling in these cells increases the apoptotic rate, since tumor cells with constitutively activated STAT3 are dependent on STAT3 signaling for survival. In contrast, cells without constitutive STAT3 activation do not show an increase in their apoptotic rate in response to STAT3 inhibitors (Fig. 4a). 8 induced a dose-dependent, up to three-fold increase in the rate of apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, which have a moderate degree of constitutive STAT3 activation (Fig. 4b), compared to DMSO-treated control cells (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Fig. S7). HCC-827 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells harbor stronger STAT3 activation than MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 4b) and also showed a more robust, up to four-fold increase in their apoptosis rate in response to 8 (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Fig. S8). In contrast, MDA-MB-453 breast cancer cells, which do not harbor constitutive STAT3 activation (Fig. 4b) did not show an increase in their apoptotic rate in response to 8 (Fig. 4e, Supplementary Fig. S9). The good correlation between the induction of apoptosis by 8 and the STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation status of the various cell lines used (Fig. 4b–e) is consistent with the notion that STAT3 inhibition by **8** is the underlying mechanism for the increased rate of apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 cells and HCC-827 cells. Since the tested cell lines do not display constitutive activation of STAT1, as indicated by the lack of constitutive phosphorylation of STAT1 Tyr701 (Supplementary Fig. S10), any effect of **8** on the STAT1 SH2 domain is unlikely to be relevant. Compound **9**, which was poorly active in FP assays (Table 1), did not significantly increase apoptosis in any of the cell lines (Fig. 4c–e, Supplementary Figs S7–S9). Since the aldehyde **9a** corresponding to the hydrazone **9** displays higher *in vitro*-activity against STAT3 than aldehyde **8a** (Table 1), this argues against the possibility that the cell-based effects of the acyl hydrazones might be caused by intracellular hydrolysis to the corresponding aldehydes. This conclusion is further supported by the results of the NMR stability assay, which showed no sign of aldehyde release from the acyl hydrazone **8** within 24 h, the time of exposure of the cell-based assays (Supplementary Fig. S6).

In the clinical setting, a subset of NSCLC patients respond well to small-molecule inhibitors of the EGF receptor, such as Erlotinib (Tarceva, Roche)³². Unfortunately, therapy resistance is a frequent occurrence despite initial treatment success, leading to disease relapse^{33,34}. Given the ability of **8** to induce apoptosis in HCC-827 cells, we asked whether it could also induce apoptosis in HCC-827-derived Erlotinib-resistant cells. Parental HCC-827 cells are highly sensitive to Erlotinib ($EC_{50} = 0.015 \pm 0.005 \mu$ M, Supplementary Fig. S11)³⁵. By treating HCC-827 cells with increasing concentrations of Erlotinib over an extended period of time, we produced HCC-827-derived cells which had acquired over 400-fold reduced sensitivity to Erlotinib ($EC_{50} = 6.4 \pm 2.2 \mu$ M, Supplementary Fig. S11). While parental HCC-827 cells showed a significant increase in apoptosis in the presence of as little as 1 nM Erlotinib (p = 0.027, Supplementary Figs S11, S12) and a more than tenfold induction of apoptosis in the presence of 1 µM Erlotinib (Supplementary Fig. S11). In contrast, **8** was equally effective at increasing the apoptotic rate at 1 µM Erlotinib (Supplementary Figs S8, S14).

Western Blot analysis revealed a dose-dependent inhibitory effect of compound **8** on STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation in both parental HCC-827 and Erlotinib-resistant HCC-827 cells (Fig. 4g,h). In contrast, the control compound **9** did not increase the apoptotic rate of either HCC-827 cell line (Fig. 4d,f), and also did not reduce STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation (Fig. 4g,h), indicating that the induction of apoptosis by **8** in both parental HCC-827 and Erlotinib-resistant HCC-827 cells is caused by inhibition of STAT3. This notion is supported by the strong correlation between the STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation status of the tested cell lines (Fig. 4b) and the increase in rate of apoptosis caused by **8** (Fig. 4c–f). Whilst inhibition of the JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway has been reported as a means by which to inhibit tumor cells with a poor response to EGFR inhibitors^{36–47}, our data demonstrate that NSCLC cells with acquired resistance against Erlotinib can be targeted by direct inhibition of STAT3.

In summary, we have demonstrated that the introduction of suitable hydrophobic substituents at the 6-position of the chromone-based STAT5 inhibitor **1** results in activity against STAT3 and, to a lesser extent, STAT1, with a concomitant decrease in activity against STAT5. The binding mode of the most potent STAT3 inhibitor **8** was analyzed by the investigation of structure-activity relationships, which were facilitated by chemical synthesis and biochemical activity analysis, as well as molecular docking and point mutant analysis. **8** represents the first chromone-based acylhydrazone shown to target STAT3 with selectivity over STAT5 and STAT1 in cell-based assays, and increases the apoptotic rate of cultured NSCLC cells in a STAT3-dependent manner. This ability of **8** also extends to HCC-827 cells which have acquired resistance against Erlotinib, a clinically used inhibitor of the EGF receptor. These data indicate that direct inhibition of STAT3 is a powerful approach by which to target STAT3-dependent NSCLC cells that have acquired resistance against Erlotinib. Consequently, **8** was dubbed Erasin (Erlotinib-**r**esistance **a**ntagonizing **S**TAT3 **in**hibitor).

The chromone moiety contained in Erasin (8) is found in the natural product classes of flavones and isoflavones. Natural products are generally viewed as excellent starting points for inhibitor development⁶. However, this important source of chemical inspiration can currently only be poorly exploited for the design of inhibitors of protein-protein interactions owing to the sparsity of known natural product-based lead structures. The development of the STAT3 inhibitor Erasin (8) from the STAT5 inhibitor 1 validates chromone-based acyl hydrazones as privileged structures for the inhibition of protein-protein interactions mediated by STAT SH2 domains. Chromone-based acyl hydrazones thus represent a rare case of natural product-based structures that can be fine-tuned to inhibit members of protein-protein interaction domains by simple variation of the substitution pattern^{18,48}.

Methods

Synthesis and spectroscopic characterization of synthesized compounds can be found in the Supplementary Information.

Fluorescence polarization assays. Assays were performed essentially as described²². In brief, protein was incubated with the test compounds for 1 h at room temperature at the following protein concentrations: STAT1: 400 nM, STAT3: 210 nM, STAT5b: 300 nM, Lck SH2: 35 nM. Subsequently, the corresponding fluorophore-labeled peptide was added: STAT1: (5-carboxyfluorescein)-GpYDKPHVL-OH, derived from the interferon- γ receptor²⁴; STAT3: (5-carboxyfluorescein)-GpYLPQTV-NH₂, derived from the gp130 subunit of the IL-6 receptor²⁵; STAT5b: (5-carboxyfluorescein)-GpYLVDKW-OH, derived from the erythropoietin receptor²⁶; Lck SH2: (5-carboxyfluorescein)-GpYLVDKW-OH, derived from the erythropoietin receptor²⁶; Lck SH2: (5-carboxyfluorescein)-GpYEEIP-OH, derived from the middle-T antigen²⁸. After a further hour of incubation, fluorescence polarization was measured in a plate reader (Tecan Infinite F500). Apparent IC₅₀ data shown in Tables 1, 2, and S1 and the inhibition curves shown in Fig. 2a correspond to this time point. The majority of the pipetting work was carried out using a Biomek FXp workstation (Beckman-Coulter). Buffer composition: 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.1% Nonidet P-40, and 2% DMSO.

Selection of protein models. Protein structures for computational docking studies were taken from crystal structures stored in the Protein Data Bank: the 3.0 Å crystal structure of unphosphorylated STAT1 complexed with a phosphopeptide (1YVL)⁴⁹ and the 2.25 Å structure of the STAT3 homodimer bound to its DNA recognition site (1BG1)²⁹. To simplify docking, the proteins were truncated to include only the SH2 domain containing the ligand binding site. The protein structures then underwent eight rounds of energy minimization in Rosetta, creating 25 low-energy models that approximate the native state and ensure that residue side chains are packed correctly. At the time of writing, there was no crystal structure available depicting the binding of any STAT protein to the peptide used in the fluorescence polarization assay (pYLPQTV), so the crystal structure of the binding interaction between STAT3 and the peptide in PDB ID 1BG1 (pYLKTKF)²⁹ was used to approximate peptide binding interactions in the following experiments.

Docking of ligands into protein models. In preparation for docking, ligand conformers were generated by MOE (Molecular Operating Environment, Chemical Computing Group, Ontario, Canada) using the MMFF94x and Born solvation model. Conformers were generated using 10,000 iterations of the Low Mode MD method with a redundancy cutoff of 0.25 Å and an iteration rejection limit of 100. Prior to docking, each ligand was manually placed into the approximate binding site as indicated in the crystal structure of phosphorylated, dimeric STAT3 (PDB: 1BG1)²⁹. During the low-resolution docking phase, each ligand was allowed to sample binding modes in a 5.0 Å radius from the center of the approximate binding site. During this phase, rigid body translation of the centroid of the ligand was performed until the position of the geometric center did not conflict with positions occupied by the atoms in the protein. Once this was satisfied, 1000 cycles of full rotational exploration were performed until Rosetta energy calculations fell below a threshold value. For limited docking of STAT1 and STAT5, ligands were aligned to starting position by pair fitting and the low resolution step were skipped. Next, high resolution docking carried out six cycles of side-chain rotamer and ligand conformer sampling coupled with 0.1 Å, 0.005 radian ligand movements simultaneously in a Monte Carlo simulated annealing algorithm. Rotatable bonds within the ligand, those not participating in planar conjugated bonds, were allowed full flexibility as indicated within the ligand parameters file generated by MOE. A final minimization combined side-chain rotamer sampling with backbone torsion angle minimization with harmonic constraints on the C-alpha atoms. The energy function used to score the docking models contains parameters for van der Waals attractive and repulsive forces, hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions between amino acids, statistical energy derived from side-chain conformation probability, and solvation assessments of both side-chain/side-chain and side-chain/ ligand interactions. For each protein-ligand combination, 2500 docked complexes were produced.

Analysis of binding modes. Following ligand docking, the top 1 percent of binding modes were taken for analysis. To enable quantitative comparison of ligand binding orientations, the root mean square deviation (RMSD) was computed over the ligands in their binding modes. Pairwise RMSD was then computed over all top-scoring models. The poses were then clustered using bcl::cluster with an RMSD cutoff of 3 Å. The largest clusters were analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively to determine possible binding interactions.

NMR-based stability analysis of compound 8. Na_2DPO_4 (C/D/N Isotopes, 8.5 mM) and NaD_2PO_4 (C/D/N Isotopes, 3.3 mM) were dissolved in D_2O to give a total phosphate concentration of 11.8 mM. The measured pH of 7.5 corresponds to a pD of 7.91 according to the literature⁵⁰.

Analysis of STAT phosphorylation by Western Blot. HepG2 cells were plated in 6-well plates (Corning #3516) and allowed to reach approximately 60% confluence. The medium was then replaced with serum-free medium for 24 h. Cells were subsequently pre-treated with compound or DMSO (final DMSO concentration: 0.2%) for 1 h at 37 °C, prior to stimulation for 15 min with 50 ng/mL IFN γ (Pepro Tech, for STAT1 phosphorylation), or 30 min with 50 ng/mL IL-6 (Pepro Tech, for STAT3 phosphorylation). Cells were treated with test compound or DMSO for 1 h (final DMSO concentration 0.2%). K562 cells (1.5×10^6 cells per well) were seeded in 6-well plates (Corning #3516) and were treated with test compound or DMSO for 1.5 h (final DMSO concentration 0.2%). HCC-827 parental or HCC-827 cells with acquired Erlotinib resistance (both 1 × 10⁶ cells per well) were seeded in 6-well tissue culture plates (Corning #3516) and were allowed to adhere overnight. Afterwards, cells were treated with the test compounds or DMSO (final DMSO concentration: 0.2%) for 24 h. Afterwards, the cells were washed twice with cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS).

Cell lysates. Whole-cell lysates were prepared with lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na₄P₂O₇, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100 and 1 mM EDTA, with phosphatase/protease inhibitors 10 mM NaF, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 mM PMSF and 100 ng/ml aprotinin added freshly prior to use. For lysates from HCC-827 cells, both the adherent cells and the cell components from the cell culture supernatant were combined and treated with lysis buffer to mimic the conditions of the apoptosis assay. Lysates were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

Western Blotting. Lysates were separated on a polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions, and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. STAT phosphorylation was assessed using rabbit monoclonal antibodies against the phosphorylated forms only of STAT1, STAT3 or STAT5 (Cell Signaling, 1:1000) with secondary antibody swine anti-rabbit HRP from Dako, 1:3000), followed by reblotting with rabbit monoclonal antibodies against total STAT1, STAT3 or STAT5 (Cell Signaling), and subsequently β -actin loading control. Visualization was carried out using an ImageQuant digital imaging system (GE Healthcare).

Cell viability assay. Parental HCC-827 cells or HCC-827 cells with acquired Erlotinib resistance were seeded at a density of 4×10^3 cells per well in a 96-well tissue culture plate (Corning #3596) and were treated with Erlotinib at the indicated concentrations (final DMSO concentration: 0.2%) for 92 h. Subsequently, 10 µl WST-1 solution (Roche, 1:10 dilution with cell culture medium) was added to each well, and incubated for 4 h (96 h total Erlotinib treatment). The absorbance at 440 nm was analyzed, using the absorbance at 650 nm as a reference. Experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Apoptosis assay. MDA-MB-453 cells $(3 \times 10^5$ cells per well), MDA-MB-231 cells $(2 \times 10^5$ cells per well), parental HCC-827 or Erlotinib-resistant HCC-827 cells (both 2.5×10^5 cells per well) were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates (Corning #3524) and treated with test compound or DMSO (final DMSO concentration: 0.2%) for 24 h. Afterwards, the cell culture supernatant from each well was collected. Cells were washed twice with warm PBS and incubated with Accutase (BD Bioscience #561527) at 37 °C for 10 min. The supernatants were then returned to each well to neutralize the Accutase solution and the cells were centrifuged at 3000 rpm, at 4 °C for 5 min. The cell pellets were washed twice with cold PBS and centrifuged again. PE Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I (BD Bioscience, 559763) was used for cell staining. Cells were resuspended in 1 x binding buffer and incubated with PE Annexin V and 7-AAD at 4 °C for 30 min. Apoptosis was measured using an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Bioscience). Experiments were carried out in at least triplicate.

Data availability. The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- Wells, J. A. & McClendon, C. L. Reaching for high-hanging fruit in drug discovery at protein-protein interfaces. *Nature* 450, 1001–1009 (2007).
- 2. Milroy, L. G., Grossmann, T. N., Hennig, S., Brunsveld, L. & Ottmann, C. Modulators of protein-protein interactions. *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 4695–4748 (2014).
- 3. Mas-Moruno, C., Rechenmacher, F. & Kessler, H. Cilengitide: the first anti-angiogenic small molecule drug candidate design, synthesis and clinical evaluation. *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem.* **10**, 753–768 (2010).
- 4. Arkin, M. R., Tang, Y. & Wells, J. A. Small-molecule inhibitors of protein-protein interactions: progressing toward the reality. *Chem. Biol.* 21, 1102–1114 (2014).
- 5. Berg, T. Small-molecule inhibitors of protein-protein interactions. Curr. Opin. Drug Discov. Devel. 11, 666–674 (2008).
- Breinbauer, R., Vetter, I. R. & Waldmann, H. From protein domains to drug candidates-natural products as guiding principles in the design and synthesis of compound libraries. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 2879–2890 (2002).
- 7. Darnell, J. E. Jr. Transcription factors as targets for cancer therapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2, 740-749 (2002).
- Berg, T. Signal Transducers and Activators of Transcription as Targets for Small Organic Molecules. ChemBioChem 9, 2039–2044 (2008).
- 9. Yu, H. & Jove, R. The STATs of cancer-new molecular targets come of age. Nat. Rev. Cancer 4, 97-105 (2004).
- 10. Kraskouskaya, D., Duodu, E., Arpin, C. C. & Gunning, P. T. Progress towards the development of SH2 domain inhibitors. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 42, 3337–3370 (2013).
- 11. Morlacchi, P., Robertson, F. M., Klostergaard, J. & McMurray, J. S. Targeting SH2 domains in breast cancer. Future Med. Chem. 6, 1909–1926 (2014).
- 12. Mandal, P. K. *et al.* Potent and Selective Phosphopeptide Mimetic Prodrugs Targeted to the Src Homology 2 (SH2) Domain of Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3. *J. Med. Chem.* **54**, 3549–3563 (2011).
- Mandal, P. K., Liao, W. S. & McMurray, J. S. Synthesis of phosphatase-stable, cell-permeable peptidomimetic prodrugs that target the SH2 domain of Stat3. Org. Lett. 11, 3394–3397 (2009).
- Haftchenary, S. et al. Potent Targeting of the STAT3 Protein in Brain Cancer Stem Cells: A Promising Route for Treating Glioblastoma. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 4, 1102–1107 (2013).
- Zhang, X. et al. Orally bioavailable small-molecule inhibitor of transcription factor Stat3 regresses human breast and lung cancer xenografts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 9623–9628 (2012).
- 16. Schust, J., Sperl, B., Hollis, A., Mayer, T. U. & Berg, T. Stattic: a small-molecule inhibitor of STAT3 activation and dimerization. *Chem. Biol.* 13, 1235–1242 (2006).
- 17. Cumaraswamy, A. A. et al. Nanomolar-Potency Small Molecule Inhibitor of STAT5 Protein. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 5, 1202–1206 (2014).
- Page, B. D. et al. Small molecule STAT5-SH2 domain inhibitors exhibit potent antileukemia activity. J. Med. Chem. 55, 1047–1055 (2012).
- Elumalai, N., Berg, A., Natarajan, K., Scharow, A. & Berg, T. Nanomolar Inhibitors of the Transcription Factor STAT5b with High Selectivity over STAT5a. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 4758–4763 (2015).
- Elumalai, N. et al. Rational development of Stafib-2: a selective, nanomolar inhibitor of the transcription factor STAT5b. Sci. Rep. 7, 819, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00920-3 (2017).
- Elumalai, N., Berg, A., Rubner, S. & Berg, T. Phosphorylation of Capsaicinoid Derivatives Provides Highly Potent and Selective Inhibitors of the Transcription Factor STAT5b. ACS Chem. Biol. 10, 2884–2890 (2015).
- 22. Müller, J., Sperl, B., Reindl, W., Kiessling, A. & Berg, T. Discovery of chromone-based inhibitors of the transcription factor STAT5. *ChemBioChem* 9, 723–727 (2008).
- Wetzel, S. et al. A scaffold-tree-merging strategy for prospective bioactivity annotation of gamma-pyrones. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 49, 3666–3670 (2010).
- 24. Wu, P., Brasseur, M. & Schindler, U. A high-throughput STAT binding assay using fluorescence polarization. *Anal. Biochem.* 249, 29–36 (1997).
- Schust, J. & Berg, T. A high-throughput fluorescence polarization assay for signal transducer and activator of transcription 3. Anal. Biochem. 330, 114–118 (2004).
- Müller, J., Schust, J. & Berg, T. A high-throughput assay for signal transducer and activator of transcription 5b based on fluorescence polarization. Anal. Biochem. 375, 249–254 (2008).
- Sperl, B., Seifert, M. H. & Berg, T. Natural product inhibitors of protein-protein interactions mediated by Src-family SH2 domains. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 19, 3305–3309 (2009).
- Lynch, B. A., Loiacono, K. A., Tiong, C. L., Adams, S. E. & MacNeil, I. A. A fluorescence polarization based Src-SH2 binding assay. Anal. Biochem. 247, 77–82 (1997).
- 29. Becker, S., Groner, B. & Muller, C. W. Three-dimensional structure of the Stat3beta homodimer bound to DNA. *Nature* **394**, 145–151 (1998).

- 30. Meiler, J. & Baker, D. ROSETTALIGAND: protein-small molecule docking with full side-chain flexibility. *Proteins* 65, 538–548 (2006).
- 31. Darnell, J. E. Validating Stat3 in cancer therapy. Nat. Med. 11, 595-596 (2005).
- Pao, W. et al. EGF receptor gene mutations are common in lung cancers from "never smokers" and are associated with sensitivity of tumors to gefitinib and erlotinib. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 13306–13311 (2004).
- Engel, J., Lategahn, J. & Rauh, D. Hope and Disappointment: Covalent Inhibitors to Overcome Drug Resistance in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 7, 2–5 (2016).
- Engel, J. et al. Insight into the Inhibition of Drug-Resistant Mutants of the Receptor Tyrosine Kinase EGFR. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55, 10909–10912 (2016).
- 35. Helfrich, B. A. *et al.* Antitumor activity of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor gefitinib (ZD1839, Iressa) in non-small cell lung cancer cell lines correlates with gene copy number and EGFR mutations but not EGFR protein levels. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 12, 7117–7125 (2006).
- Li, R. et al. Niclosamide overcomes acquired resistance to erlotinib through suppression of STAT3 in non-small cell lung cancer. Mol. Cancer Ther. 12, 2200–2212 (2013).
- Zhang, F. Q. et al. JAK2 inhibitor TG101348 overcomes erlotinib-resistance in non-small cell lung carcinoma cells with mutated EGF receptor. Oncotarget 6, 14329–14343 (2015).
- Li, Q. et al. Nuclear PKM2 contributes to gefitinib resistance via upregulation of STAT3 activation in colorectal cancer. Sci. Rep. 5, 16082, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16082 (2015).
- 39. Wu, K. et al. Gefitinib resistance resulted from STAT3-mediated Akt activation in lung cancer cells. Oncotarget 4, 2430-2438 (2013).
- Chiu, H. C. et al. Suppression of Stat3 activity sensitizes gefitinib-resistant non small cell lung cancer cells. Biochem. Pharmacol. 81, 1263–1270 (2011).
- 41. Sen, M. et al. Targeting Stat3 abrogates EGFR inhibitor resistance in cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 18, 4986–4996 (2012).
- Ioannou, N. et al. Acquired resistance of pancreatic cancer cells to treatment with gemcitabine and HER-inhibitors is accompanied by increased sensitivity to STAT3 inhibition. Int. J. Oncol. 48, 908–918 (2016).
- Gao, S. P. et al. JAK2 inhibition sensitizes resistant EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinoma to tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Sci Signal 9, ra33, https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aac8460 (2016).
- 44. Tang, J. *et al.* Continuous exposure of non-small cell lung cancer cells with wild-type EGFR to an inhibitor of EGFR tyrosine kinase induces chemoresistance by activating STAT3. *Int. J. Oncol.* **46**, 2083–2095 (2015).
- Kim, S. M. et al. Activation of IL-6R/JAK1/STAT3 signaling induces de novo resistance to irreversible EGFR inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer with T790M resistance mutation. Mol. Cancer Ther. 11, 2254–2264 (2012).
- Codony-Servat, C. et al. Activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) signaling in EGFR mutant nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Oncotarget 8, 47305–47316 (2017).
- Lou, W. et al. Polyphyllin I Overcomes EMT-Associated Resistance to Erlotinib in Lung Cancer Cells via IL-6/STAT3 Pathway Inhibition. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 40, 1306–1313 (2017).
- 48. Heckmann, D. *et al.* Probing integrin selectivity: rational design of highly active and selective ligands for the alpha5beta1 and alphavbeta3 integrin receptor. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **46**, 3571–3574 (2007).
- 49. Mao, X. et al. Structural bases of unphosphorylated STAT1 association and receptor binding. Mol. Cell 17, 761–771 (2005).
- 50. Covington, A. K., Paabo, M., Robinson, R. A. & Bates, R. G. Use of the glass electrode in deuterium oxide and the relation between the standardized pD (paD) scale and the operational pH in heavy water. *Anal. Chem.* **40**, 700–706 (1968).
- 51. DeLano, W. L. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System. *DeLano Scientific, Palo Alto, CA, USA* (2002).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the European Social Fund (ESF) of the European Union (EU) and the Free State of Saxony, the European Union and the Free State of Saxony, European Regional Development Fund, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (INST 268/281-1 FUGG, BE 4572/4-1), and the Deutsche Krebshilfe (108614). We extend our thanks to Barbara Klüver and Sabine Schubert for experimental support, and the Core Unit Fluorescence Technologies at the Faculty of Medicine of Leipzig University (K. Jäger and A. Lösche). Work in the Meiler laboratory is supported through NIH (R01 R01 GM099842) and NSF (CHE 1305874). We acknowledge support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) and Leipzig University within the program of Open Access Publishing.

Author Contributions

C.L. and M.R. synthesized compounds and analysed their activities. S.R. conducted apoptosis assays and Western blots. A.B. conducted Western Blots. T.G., D.F., and E.N. conducted docking experiments. A.M.S. created Erlotinib-resistant cells and conducted cell viability assays. H.K. carried out X-ray analysis. J.M. and T.B. designed experiments. T.B. wrote the paper, with the support of all authors.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17600-x.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017