

Pyruvate Formate-Lyase Interacts Directly with the Formate Channel FocA to Regulate Formate Translocation

Claudia Doberenz¹, Michael Zorn², Dörte Falke¹, David Nannemann³, Doreen Hunger¹, Lydia Beyer¹, Christian H. Ihling², Jens Meiler³, Andrea Sinz² and R. Gary Sawers¹

1 - Institute of Biology/Microbiology, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Kurt-Mothes-Strasse 3, 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany

2 - Institute of Pharmacy, Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Wolfgang-Langenbeck-Strasse 4, 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany 3 - Department of Chemistry, Vanderbilt University, 7330 Stevenson Center, Nashville, TN 37235, USA

Correspondence to R. Gary Sawers: gary.sawers@mikrobiologie.uni-halle.de http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2014.05.023 *Edited by I. B. Holland*

Abstract

The FNT (formate-*n*itrite *t*ransporters) form a superfamily of pentameric membrane channels that translocate monovalent anions across biological membranes. FocA (formate channel *A*) translocates formate bidirectionally but the mechanism underlying how translocation of formate is controlled and what governs substrate specificity remains unclear. Here we demonstrate that the normally soluble dimeric enzyme pyruvate formate-lyase (PfIB), which is responsible for intracellular formate generation in enterobacteria and other microbes, interacts specifically with FocA. Association of PfIB with the cytoplasmic membrane was shown to be FocA dependent and purified, Strep-tagged FocA specifically retrieved PfIB from *Escherichia coli* crude extracts. Using a bacterial two-hybrid system, it could be shown that the N-terminus of FocA and the central domain of PfIB were involved in the interaction. This finding was confirmed by chemical cross-linking experiments. Using constraints imposed by the amino acid residues identified in the cross-linking study, we provide for the first time a model for the FocA–PfIB complex. The model suggests that the N-terminus of FocA is important for interaction with PfIB. An *in vivo* assay developed to monitor changes in formate levels in the cytoplasm revealed the importance of the interaction with PfIB for optimal translocation of formate by FocA. This system represents a paradigm for the control of activity of FNT channel proteins.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

FocA (formate channel A) is the archetype of the FNT (formate-*n*itrite *t*ransporters) superfamily of membrane proteins [1–5]. FNT proteins are widely distributed among the archaea, bacteria and certain fungi and the family includes several thousand members that can be divided into minimally three sub-classes, with diverse cellular functions [5–7], suggesting that this family is evolutionarily ancient. As well as a conserved pentameric structure, the FNT proteins are characterized by their translocation of small monovalent anionic species, such as formate, nitrite or hydrosulfide, across bacterial cytoplasmic membranes [2,3,5,8,9]. As many of the microorganisms that synthesize FNT channels are anaerobes, these proteins likely provide microbial cells

with the capability of energy-efficient and controlled uptake (or export) of anions [10].

Up to one-third of the carbon derived from glucose is converted to formate by the pyruvate formate-lyase (PfIB) enzyme during fermentation in enterobacteria such as *Escherichia coli* [11,12]. PfIB is a glycyl-radical enzyme that is post-translationally interconverted between inactive and active species [11,13]. The structure of PfIB has been determined [14] and it is functional as a dimer exhibiting half-of-the-sites activity [13]. Introduction of the glycyl radical into PfIB occurs only when *E. coli* grows anaerobically or during oxygen limitation and interconversion is strictly controlled in response to the metabolic status of the cell [11,13].

Formic acid has a pK_a of 3.75, and therefore at neutral pH, the formate anion could accumulate in the

0022-2836/ $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\odot}}$ 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

J. Mol. Biol. (2014) xx, xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Expression of a single-copy, formate-responsive *fdhF'-lacZ* transcriptional fusion in different *E. coli* strains grown anaerobically. Strains were grown in TGYEP rich medium supplemented with the formate concentrations indicated (see also Materials and Methods). Cultures were harvested for analysis of β -galactosidase enzyme activity in the mid-exponential phase of growth (OD_{600 nm} between 0.6 and 0.8). The strains analyzed included (a) wild-type MC4100, (b) REK701 (*focA*), (c) 234M1 (*pflA*) (d) 234M1 containing plasmid pASK-IBA5focA and (e) RM220 ($\Delta pflB \Delta pflA$).

cytoplasm leading to acidification, resulting in destruction of the proton gradient [15]. To counteract cytoplasmic acidification, formate is exported to the periplasm by FocA and probably by at least one further, as yet unidentified, system [1]. Two energyconserving formate dehydrogenases have their respective active site located facing the periplasm, and therefore in the presence of appropriate electron acceptors, formate can function as an electron donor for respiration. If no acceptor is available, however, which occurs during fermentative growth, formate can be re-imported into the cell where it is metabolized by a formate-inducible formate hydrogenlyase complex to the gaseous products carbon dioxide and hydrogen [1,16]. Formate uptake by the cell also occurs through FocA; however, this is dependent upon an active formate hydrogenlyase complex, which dissipates intracellularly accumulated formate [17].

Physiological studies on fermentative formate metabolism in *E. coli* have provided evidence that FocA translocates the anion bidirectionally [1,17]. The mechanism underlying control of bidirectional formate translocation by FocA is unknown. Structural studies have suggested pH-dependent conformational control of formate channeling through FocA [4]. Furthermore, a recent electrophysiological study demonstrated that isolated FocA is capable of translocating not only formate but also acetate and lactate *in vitro*, leading to the suggestion that FocA might have a comparatively broad substrate specificity [8]. However, the tight coupling between FocA and PfIB synthesis in vivo, together with the fact that a strong correlation between formate translocation and FocA could be demonstrated for *E. coli* cells but not for other mixed acids [1,17], suggests that a mechanism exists in vivo, ensuring that only formate is translocated by the channel. Therefore, identifying how in vivo substrate specificity and "gating" of the FNT proteins is governed is an important question to address. In this study, we demonstrate by physiological, biochemical, genetic and biophysical approaches that the PfIB enzyme, which is responsible for formate generation in E. coli, interacts directly and specifically with the FocA channel. By modeling the FocA-PflB complex based on experimental restraints, we demonstrate the crucial role of the FocA N-terminus for the interaction. Our data provide the first structural insights into the role of PfIB in controlling FocA channel activity.

Results

PfIB influences FocA-dependent formate uptake by *E. coli*

Recent studies have shown that FocA non-selectively translocates formate and other weak acids in

FocA-Pyruvate Formate-Lyase Interaction



Fig. 2. Protein–protein interaction studies with purified Strep-tagged FocA. (a) Purified N-terminally Strep-tagged FocA (10 μ g of protein) was incubated with the soluble fraction (1 mg of protein) derived from strains MC4100 (lane 1), REK702 (lanes 2 and 4) or RM220 ($\Delta pflB$) (lane 3), which had been grown anaerobically (see Materials and Methods). Equivalent amounts of suspension eluted from the Streptactin matrix were separated by 10% (w/v) (upper panel) or 12.5% (w/v) (lower panel) SDS-PAGE and challenged with antibodies directed against PflB or FocA, as indicated on the right side of each panel. Size markers in kDa are shown on the left (purified FocA_{Strep-N} migrates with a molecular mass of 24 kDa) and the asterisk indicates the unidentified polypeptide that cross-reacts with the anti-PflB antibodies. (b) Purified Strep-tagged FocA (20 μ g of protein) was incubated with 100 μ g of soluble fraction derived from strains MC4100 containing plasmid p29 (resulting in overproduction of PflB) (lanes 1 and 4), RM201 ($\Delta focA \Delta pflB$) (lane 3) or purified His-tagged PflB (10 μ g) (lane 2), and after release of FocA and its interaction partners, the eluate was applied to a 10% (w/v) SDS-PAGE and after transfer to nitrocellulose the membrane was challenged with anti-PflB antibodies. The migration positions of PflB and His-tagged PflB are shown on the right of the panel. (See also Fig. S1.)

an in vitro system [8], while E. coli cells have a mechanism to transport formate selectively [1,17]. Because PfIB is responsible for formate generation in bacteria such as *E. coli* and its synthesis is tightly coupled with that of FocA, we decided to examine whether PfIB in its active or inactive form might exert a regulatory influence on the ability of fermenting E. coli to take up formate. To accomplish this, we made use of a recently developed formate-responsive reporter system, which can be used to monitor formate levels inside *E. coli* cells [18]. This reporter system is based upon the use of the formate-dependent promoter of the *fdhF* gene [16], which is fused to the gene encoding β-galactosidase. After anaerobic growth with glucose as carbon source, wild-type E. coli cells with a single-copy fdhF'-'lacZ fusion exhibited a β-galactosidase activity corresponding to 1800 units (Fig. 1a). This reflects the level of formate generated internally from pyruvate by active PfIB. Adding 10 mM formate to the growth medium increased the β-galactosidase activity roughly 2-fold and levels of expression increased up to a maximum level of approximately 4500 units when the medium was supplemented with 30 mM sodium formate (Fig. 1a). Introduction of stop codons into the *focA* gene, which prevented FocA synthesis but that did not affect PfIB levels in the cell [1], resulted in a β-galactosidase activity of approximately 2000 units without exogenous addition of formate (Fig. 1b). Supplementation of the growth medium with formate had no apparent effect on intracellular levels of formate, as reflected by the lack of an increase in β-galactosidase enzyme activity compared with no formate addition.

A mutant (strain 234M1) unable to synthesize the PfIA enzyme, which is required to convert PfIB from its inactive to its active, glycyl-radical-containing

species [11], exhibited a basal β -galactosidase activity of only 200 units, reflecting the fact that formate could not be generated intracellularly (Fig. 1c). Addition of 10 mM formate to the culture medium resulted in a β -galactosidase activity of only 1400 units despite an active FocA protein being present in these cells. Increasing the concentrations of formate added to the growth medium had no effect on the β -galactosidase activity. This result suggests that the inactive form of PfIB somehow prevents excessive FocA-dependent formate uptake.

Increasing the copy number of FocA [18] in the *pflA* mutant by introducing the *focA* gene on a multicopy plasmid (pASK-IBA5focA) into the *pflA* mutant 234M1 restored the ability of the strain to respond to exogenously applied formate (Fig. 1d).

Finally, deletion of both *pfIA* and *pfIB* (strain RM220) partially restored the ability of the strain to respond to exogenous formate (Fig. 1e), consistent with inactive PfIB impairing formate uptake by FocA. The fact that the wild-type profile was not restored possibly reflects the fact that the strain cannot generate formate intracellularly. This finding might also suggest that the active, radical-bearing form of PfIB potentially influences formate movement through the FocA channel.

Taken together, these results suggest that the inactive form of PfIB prevents FocA-dependent formate uptake. This effect can be counteracted, however, by increasing the level of FocA in the cell.

FocA interacts with inactive PfIB in vitro

PfIB is a soluble protein normally localized within the cytoplasm of *E. coli* [11]. Upon sub-cellular fractionation of extracts from anaerobically grown cells of *E. coli*, it was noted that membrane fractions



FocA-Pyruvate Formate-Lyase Interaction

Fig. 3. Bacterial two-hybrid analysis of the FocA-PfIB interaction. The constructs used in the analyses are shown schematically on the left of the figure. The gray boxes indicate either the T25 or the T18 fragment of the catalytic domain of adenylate cyclase, the red boxes signify full-length FocA and the blue boxes indicate PfIB fragments. If the gray box is depicted on the left of the fusion construct, this signifies that FocA or PfIB was fused to its C-terminus, while if the gray box is on the right, then it was fused to the C-terminus of the respective FocA or PfIB fragment. The numbers within or next to the PfIB boxes indicate which amino acids of PfIB were fused to T18. The β-galactosidase enzyme activity with standard deviation in Miller units (see Materials and Methods) of the respective fusion combinations is

shown on the right. Each experiment was performed at least three times in triplicate. The negative control (T25 and T18 plasmids without inserts) had an activity of 44 ± 6 Miller units, while the positive control (T25-zip and T18-zip from GCN4 supplied with the kit; Euromedex GmbH) had an activity of 715 \pm 16 units.

washed with buffer containing 150 mM NaCl bound PfIB, while membranes from a mutant lacking FocA showed no PfIB association (Fig. S1).

To test this interaction further, we mixed purified, N-terminally Strep-tagged FocA with a crude extract derived from anaerobically grown MC4100 cells (wild type) and we separated the resulting complex by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and challenged it with PfIB antibodies (Fig. 2a). The Western blot revealed a clear interaction with an 85-kDa polypeptide and a polypeptide of ca 57 kDa. A similar result was observed with a crude extract derived from anaerobically grown REK702 (Fig. 2a, lane 2), which synthesizes higher levels of both FocA and PfIB due to conversion of the focA GUG translation initiation codon to the more efficiently translated AUG codon [1,17]. In contrast, when the experiment was repeated with a crude extract of the pfIB mutant RM220, no 85-kDa polypeptide corresponding to PfIB was observed (Fig. 2a, lane 3). This result demonstrates that the 85 kDa (polypeptide) is PfIB and that it interacts with Strep-tagged FocA. That the 57-kDa polypeptide was also observed in pull-down experiments performed with an extract derived from strain RM220 indicates that this protein is independent of PfIB. A further control, in which the crude extract from strain REK702 was mixed with only Streptactin beads but without Strep-tagged FocA, revealed no 85-kDa polypeptide, but it did show a signal corresponding to the 57-kDa protein (Fig. 2a, lane 4). This result indicates that the 57-kDa protein interacts with the Streptactin matrix and cross-reacts with the antiserum raised against PfIB but rules out a non-specific interaction between PfIB and the matrix. Strep-tagged FocA that had been

added to the crude extracts was detected using anti-FocA antiserum and represented a loading control (Fig. 2a, lower panel).

To confirm the interaction between purified FocA and purified PfIB, we mixed equal amounts of purified Strep-tagged FocA and purified His-tagged PfIB (see Materials and Methods and Supplementary Information), and after incubation, the polypeptides bound to Streptactin-coated magnetic beads were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The results shown in lane 2 of Fig. 2b reveal that N-terminally Streptagged FocA specifically pulls down N-terminally His-tagged PfIB. As a positive control, the same experiment was performed with a crude extract derived from strain MC4100 carrying plasmid p29 [19], which results in overproduction of PfIB (Fig. 2b, lane 1). The native, untagged PfIB protein migrated slightly faster than pure His-tagged PfIB protein, which is shown in lane 5 (Fig. 2b). The negative control in which an extract derived from the pflB mutant RM201 was incubated with Strep-tagged FocA (lane 3 in Fig. 2b), or in which an extract derived from MC4100/p29 was not incubated with Strep-tagged FocA (lane 4, Fig. 2b), demonstrated that the interaction between FocA and PfIB was specific.

Bacterial two-hybrid analysis indicates that the N-terminus of FocA is important for interaction with PfIB

The *focA* gene was fused in-frame with a portion of the adenylate cyclase gene encoding the T25 fragment of the enzyme [20]. Two fusions were made: T25-FocA in which FocA was fused at the

FocA_{Strep-N}

REK702/

p29

kDa

95

72

55



Fig. 4. Comparison of the interaction between purified N- and C-terminally Strep-tagged FocA derivatives and PfIB. Purified N- or C-terminally Strep-tagged FocA (20 μ g of protein) was incubated with the soluble fraction (1 mg of protein) derived from strains REK702 (increased FocA level) transformed with plasmid p29 and RM223 ($\Delta pfIB \Delta pfIA \Delta tdcE$), which had been grown anaerobically (see Materials and Methods and Table S3). Equivalent amounts of suspension eluted from the matrix were separated by 10% (w/v) SDS-PAGE and challenged with antibodies directed against PfIB. Size markers in kDa are shown on the left and the asterisk denotes the unidentified polypeptide that cross-reacts with the anti-PfIB antibodies. (See also Fig. S1.)

C-terminus of T25 and a FocA-T25 hybrid in which FocA was fused to the N-terminus of T25 (shown schematically in Fig. 3). Similarly, three separate but overlapping truncated polypeptides of PfIB were fused with the portion of the cva gene encoding the T18 fragment of adenylate cyclase: these included PfIB from amino acid position 2 to amino acid position 424, which was fused to the N-terminus of T18 and peptides encompassing amino acid positions 414-603 and 603-752 of PfIB, which were fused to the C-terminus of T18. Different combinations of the two plasmids encoding the FocA fusions were transformed into the E. coli cya mutant DHM1 along with one of the three plasmids encoding the PfIB-T18 fusions. These transformants were grown aerobically and were analyzed for β-galactosidase enzyme activity (Fig. 3). Fusion of the C-terminus of the T25 polypeptide with the N-terminus of FocA

failed to deliver β-galactosidase enzyme activity that was significantly above the level observed for the negative control (empty vectors encoding T25 and T18 without fusion partner). This result was observed regardless of which PfIB-T18 fusion plasmid derivative was introduced. In contrast, when the T25 portion of adenylate cyclase was fused with the C-terminus of FocA, clearly measureable β-galactosidase enzyme activity was observed with the PfIB fragments that included amino acids 2-424 and 414-603 but not with that including amino acids 603–752. First, this indicates that a "free" N-terminus of FocA is crucial for the interaction with PflB. Second, the data suggest that FocA interacts either with a central region of PfIB encompassing minimally amino acids 414 and 424 or with more than one region of PfIB, with one interaction region located in the first 424 amino acids and the second between residues 414 and 600 (Fig. 3).

To confirm that the N-terminus of FocA is important for interaction with PfIB, we compared the ability of FocA with an N-terminal or a C-terminal Strep-tag to interact in pull-down assays with PfIB (Fig. 4). The results clearly indicate that, although N-terminally Strep-tagged FocA interacts specifically with PfIB, the interaction is significantly more intense if the N-terminus remains untagged and the C-terminus of FocA carries the Strep-tag.

Chemical cross-linking analysis of the FocA–PfIB interaction

In an initial cross-linking experiment, we aimed to identify potential binding partners of FocA using the heterobifunctional amine-reactive/photo-reactive cross-linker SDAD {succinimidyl 2-([4,4'azipentanamido]ethyl)-1,3'-dithiopropionate} (see Supplementary Information for details). For this, Strep-tagged FocA was first modified with the amine-reactive site of SDAD before a fraction including soluble proteins of the E. coli strain REK702 (translation initiation codon of focA converted from GUG to AUG) [1] was added. After removing excess cross-linker, we induced the photo-cross-linking reaction by irradiating the mixture with long-wavelength UV. Making use of the C-terminal Strep-tag on FocA, Streptactin affinity chromatography allowed the purification of FocAprotein complexes and FocA-binding proteins were subsequently identified by liquid chromatography

Table 1. S	Selected	FocA	binding	partners
------------	----------	------	---------	----------

ID	Function	Molecular weight	Peptides	Peptide spectral matches	Mascot score
PflB	Formate acetyltransferase 1	85,300	40	117	1680
AdhE	Aldehyde-alcohol dehydrogenase	96,100	28	56	1571
GadA	Glutamate decarboxylase a	52,700	10	30	428
PflA	Pyruvate formate-lyase 1-activating enzyme	28,200	4	7	101

Table 2. Cross-links identified between FocA and PfIB

[M + H] ⁺ theoretical	+ H] ⁺ FocA site of theoretical cross-linking	
3953.016	K17	K312
3062.576	K26	K197
2647.332	K17	K32
2513.296	K17	K441
2490.240	K112	S463
2383.182	K17	Y126
2248.101	K191	T298
2232.114	K17	S338
2136.097	K17	Y192
2072.037	K17	K643
2023.997	S187	K136
1925.086	T28	K96
1522.826	K26	Y726
1241.590	K29	T395

(LC)/tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) analysis (Table 1 and Table S1). The protein was identified based on the highest number of identified peptides (117) and the highest score was PflB confirming it as an interaction partner of FocA. Mass spectrometry (MS) and MS/MS data of a representative PfIB peptide are presented in Fig. S2. It should be noted that PfIB is one of the most abundant proteins in anaerobic E. coli cells (~3% total cellular protein [11]) resulting in a large number of peptides in our MS-based analysis. Interestingly, pyruvate formate-lyase-activating enzyme (PfIA) was also identified as an interaction partner of FocA (Fig. S3). PfIA is a radical SAM enzyme that introduces a stable glycyl radical into PfIB when E. coli grows anaerobically [21].

After having confirmed the formation of a complex between FocA and PflB, we aimed to gain insight into

FocA-Pyruvate Formate-Lyase Interaction

the topology of the complex by chemical cross-linking with the homobifunctional cross-linker *bis* (sulfosuccinimidyl)glutarate (BS²G). *N*-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) ester cross-linkers, such as BS²G, have been used successfully both by us [22] and by others [23,24] for deriving three-dimensional structural data of protein assemblies.

After the cross-linking reaction between FocA and PfIB, reaction mixtures were separated by SDS-PAGE, enzymatically digested, and the resulting peptides were analyzed by LC/MS/MS. Altogether, 14 unique intermolecular cross-links were identified between FocA and PfIB (Table 2 and Supplementary Information, Table S2). One representative cross-link between Lys29 (FocA) and Lys395 (PfIB) is presented in Fig. 5.

Two additional cross-links between Lys112 (FocA) and Ser463 (PfIB), as well as between Lys17 (FocA) and Lys441 (PfIB), are shown in Fig. S4. The fact that 11 out of 14 cross-links are with amino acids (Lys17, Lys26, Thr28 and Lys29) in the N-terminus of FocA indicates a high flexibility of FocA's N-terminus, allowing it to react with diverse regions in PfIB (Table 2). The importance of the N-terminus of FocA for the interaction with PfIB had already been indicated by other experiments (see above).

Model of the FocA–PfIB complex consistent with cross-linking data

In order to determine a likely binding orientation of PfIB to FocA, we generated physically realistic models of the interaction between PfIB and FocA by computational docking. Structures of FocA and PfIB were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank. The N-terminus of FocA is a conformationally flexible,



Fig. 5. Fragment ion mass spectrum (MS/MS) of a doubly charged cross-linked product at *m/z* 621.298. The cross-linked product was unambiguously assigned to amino acids 27–29 (ATK) of FocA connected to amino acids 395–401 (TSSLQE) of PfIB. The fragment ions point to Lys29 (FocA) cross-linked with Thr395 (PfIB). (See also Fig. S2.)

FocA-Pyruvate Formate-Lyase Interaction

α-helix-containing peptide and has been crystallized in multiple conformations [4]. In the crystal structure of FocA from Salmonella typhimurium, the N-termini of four chains are resolved and the protomers are labeled as "closed" and "intermediate". The N-termini of closed and intermediate protomers closely interact in adjacent protomers. Additionally, the loop connecting TM2a and TM3, the Ω -loop, is structurally flexible and has been observed in many conformations [3,4]. In order to assess the full conformational space of the cytoplasmic face of FocA, we homology modeled the N-terminus, Ω-loop and C-terminus of E, coli FocA [25] and/or we folded the N-terminus de novo [26,27] and re-combined conformations from each source in a stochastic manner. The models were energy minimized [28], and low-energy models (1.5% of ~2000) were carried forward. A rigid-body, global conformational search was performed using a centroid-based side-chain representation [29] wherein the conformation of PfIB was fully randomized relative to FocA. The top 10% of ~50,000 models, as analyzed by interchain interaction energy, were evaluated for agreement with the experimentally derived crosslinking restraints (Fig. S5).

Cross-links generated with BS²G are commonly evaluated at a maximal $C^{\alpha}-C^{\alpha}$ distance of 25 Å, accounting for the linker length, side-chain length and additional ambiguity of the atom placement in the crystal structure or model [3,4]. With the use of a restraint cutoff distance of 25 Å, at most 7 out of the 14 cross-linking restraints were satisfied (Fig. S6a). Given the flexibility in the N-termini and the low resolution of our models, we analyzed progressively greater cutoff distances. At cutoff distances of 30 Å and 35 Å, only 9 and 12, respectively, of the 14 cross-links were simultaneously fulfilled. Only at a cutoff distance of 40 Å were all 14 cross-linking restraints simultaneously satisfied by a single model. Given that no single model fulfilled all restraints at

Given that no single model fulfilled all restraints at the lower distance cutoffs, we tested whether there is a combination of two models that satisfy the 14 cross-links at a lower cutoff distance. Indeed, there were 54 model pairs at a cutoff of 30 Å, which satisfied all cross-links simultaneously. Each model of the pair falls into one of two orientations of PfIB relative to FocA, named conformation A and conformation B (Fig. 6).

We then analyzed the relative orientation of PfIB to FocA in the model populations that intervene each cutoff distance via clustering, for example, those models satisfying 6 or 7 restraints at 25 Å; 8 or 9 restraints at 30 Å; 10, 11 or 12 restraints at 35 Å; and 13 or 14 restraints at 40 Å. Two well-populated conformations with a cluster size of ~7-8 Å RMSD were observed at 25 Å and 30 Å cutoff distances. These two clusters correspond to the previously observed conformations A and B. At greater cutoff distances of 35 Å and 40 Å, the model population converges on a single cluster, which includes conformation B. Individually, the two clusters each satisfied 7 restraints with an average $C^{\alpha}-C^{\alpha}$ distance of 30-35 Å or better (T28-K96, K17-Y192, K17-Y126, K17-K312, K26-Y726, K29-T395 and K17-K32) (Fig. S6b). In the population selected by



Fig. 6. Model of the FocA–PfIB complex. Conformations a and b were selected by cross-linking restraints from the docking models. FocA and PfIB protomers are individually colored. Bars indicate the best-fulfilled cross-link for that model as measured by $C^{\alpha}-C^{\alpha}$ distance. Conformational space of the ensemble is represented by the white density envelope. (See also Figs. S3 and S4.)

greater distance cutoffs, cluster B, an additional four restraints were satisfied at an average C^{α} - C^{α} distance of 30-35 Å (K17-K441, S187-K136, K26-K197 and K112-S463). By comparison, two cross-links were better satisfied by the lower-distance-cutoff population: K191-T298 and, to a lesser extent, K17-S338. Finally, the cross-link between Lys17 (FocA) and K643 (PfIB) was only rarely satisfied at distances below 40 Å in either conformational population. Taken together, the modeling data indicate the presence of both conformations during the cross-linking experiment and that both conformations are physically realistic. Note that, as the experiment was performed on an ensemble of FocA-PfIB complexes, it is consistent with the notion that conformation A is an intermediate on a pathway that ultimately leads to conformation B.

Discussion

Physiological implications of the PfIB–FocA interaction

The apparent discrepancy in substrate specificity of FocA channels observed in the in vitro experiments conducted with purified protein [8], in which the products of mixed-acid fermentation were translocated, and in *in vivo* studies [17], in which formate was the sole substrate of FocA, could potentially be accounted for by the findings presented in the current study. The physical interaction of FocA with PfIB likely ensures that formate is the only substrate of FocA in intact bacterial cells. PfIB interacts specifically with FocA and our in vivo studies suggest that PfIB controls whether substrate is translocated and possibly also the directionality of substrate movement through the pore of each monomer [7,10]. In particular, we showed that, if PfIB is exclusively in the inactive species (e.g., in a pflA mutant; Fig. 1c) then regardless of how much formate was supplied exogenously, the activity of the reporter remained unaffected with the exception of a low level of accumulation presumably via a further as yet unidentified formate transport system [1,17]. This finding suggests that either formate is continuously exported from the cells by FocA or it cannot be taken up effectively by the channel. Increasing the copy number of FocA in the *pfIA* mutant restored the ability of the cells to accumulate formate intracellularly, suggesting that formate uptake might be impaired when PfIB is inactivated. Physiologically, this would make sense because when PfIB is not in its active, glycyl-radical form, this signifies that the cells have stopped formate production and presumably formate uptake is also restricted by binding of inactive PfIB to FocA [11].

That the inactive form of PfIB interacts with FocA was demonstrated in the *in vitro* pull-down and

interaction studies. All of these experiments were performed in the presence of oxygen, and because the glycyl-radical-bearing form of PfIB has a half-life of less than 10 s in air [11], this indicates that all of the interactions analyzed in this study involved only the inactive enzyme species. We currently cannot state categorically whether the active, radical-bearing enzyme species also binds FocA or whether it binds in a different conformation resulting in altered uptake or export properties of the channel (e.g., Fig. 1e).

Binding of the P_{II} signal transduction protein, GInK, to the ammonia channel AmtB blocks further uptake of ammonia or ammonium by the channel [30]. Depending on the organism, a phosphorylated or a uridydylated form of GInK binds specifically to AmtB sterically blocking the channel. Protein modification might also be of relevance to the PfIB-FocA interaction because the ratio of dimeric PfIB to pentameric FocA in the anaerobic E. coli cell is roughly 150:1 and therefore a further level of regulation that controls when and how the appropriate form of PfIB interacts with FocA must exist. Based on our cross-linking and modeling studies, we assume a 1:1 stoichiometry of the dimeric PfIB: pentameric FocA complex; however, the requirement for detergent has so far prevented an accurate assessment of the stoichiometry of both proteins in the complex. The observation that PfIB can be acetylated on specific surface-exposed lysine residues [31] might explain the multiple isoforms of the enzyme previously observed upon two-dimensional PAGE analysis of the protein [19], as well as might provide a means of ensuring that a post-translationally modified sub-species of PfIB enters into an interaction with FocA. Future studies will be required to elucidate the physiological significance of this interaction in more detail.

Specificity of the PfIB–FocA interaction

PfIB interacts with the cytoplasmically oriented face of FocA and the N-terminal helix of FocA is important for the specific interaction with PfIB. The in vivo bacterial two-hybrid study also showed that interaction with PfIB was prevented when the N-terminus of FocA was fused to the T25 domain of adenylate cyclase. The bacterial two-hybrid approach also proved useful in narrowing down the key regions in PfIB that interacted with FocA. Together with the results of chemical cross-linking, two main "regions" of PfIB were shown to be important for the interaction with the N-terminus of FocA, namely amino acids in the neighborhood of K32, K96 and K126 and those near S338, T395 and K441. Amino acid K17 of FocA also interacted with K192 and K312 indicating that the N-terminus of FocA exhibits clear flexibility [3,4] in its ability to interact with different regions of PfIB. Specific interactions among amino acids K163, T296 and S463 were also identified with amino acids K191,

FocA-Pyruvate Formate-Lyase Interaction

S187 and K112, respectively, which are located at the base of the central pore of the FocA pentamer. A detailed amino acid exchange program is currently being undertaken to determine precisely which amino acids in PfIB are involved in the interaction with FocA.

Despite PfIB delivering the highest number of peptides cross-linked to FocA, a number of other polypeptides were identified in the cross-linking study that had relevance to fermentative metabolism. These included AdhE, which is an abundant protein during anaerobic growth and has been proposed to regulate the activity of PfIB by posttranslational modification [32]. The other enzyme that post-translationally modifies PfIB is its activating enzyme PfIA and this was also identified as a cross-linked product. PfIA is a radical SAM enzyme [21] that introduces the free radical onto Gly734 of PfIB [13]. Other proteins that formed a complex with FocA included a number of different amino acid decarboxylases and pyruvate dehydrogenase (Table S1), suggesting a potential link between FocA and proteins of CO₂/bicarbonate metabolism.

Two-stage model for FocA–PfIB interaction

The fact that 11 out of 14 cross-links to PfIB were with amino acids (K17, K26, T28 and K29) in the N-terminal helix of FocA indicates a high flexibility of FocA's N-terminus, allowing it to react with diverse regions in PfIB (Table 2). Because of this flexibility, it was necessary to decrease the constraint on the C^{α} - C^{α} distance, which is usually set at 25 Å, in order to construct a model, particularly for the BS²G cross-links, and this took into consideration the high flexibility of the N-terminal region of FocA. Based on the cross-linking data, it can be considered that both conformations of PfIB shown in Fig. 6 are biologically relevant and represent a dynamic process in which multiple orientations are captured during crosslinking. Therefore, we hypothesize that conformation A, being entropically favored, is an intermediate complex and represents a lower-affinity precursor interaction state. As the high-affinity complex forms from this initial intermediate, both protomers of PfIB begin to interact with FocA to generate an enthalpically favored interaction, more similar to conformation B in Fig. 6. In this scenario, conformation A is an intermediate on a pathway that ultimately leads to the more intimate interaction observed in conformation B. This enthalpically favored orientation positions the CoA-binding site of PfIB [33] toward FocA. The route of formate egress from the enzyme has not been demonstrated [14,33]. In conformation B, one CoASH tunnel is oriented toward FocA. If formate exits through the same entry as CoASH, then a loose channel would be present allowing ready export out of the cell. However, the present models are not of sufficient

resolution to prove this hypothesis or suggest any residues that play an active role in funneling formate from PfIB to the FocA pore.

In the absence of PfIB, the pore is closed through blocking of the channel by the N-terminal helix. It should be noted, however, that recent molecular dynamics simulations [34] suggest that formate can still enter and exit the channel in FocA even when the N-terminal helix covers the pore entrance. This finding further underscores the likely importance of the interaction with PfIB for controlled movement of formate through FocA.

If only conformation B in Fig. 6 is of functional relevance, because all constraints are fulfilled, albeit at higher cutoff distances, this would imply that conformation A does not represent a physiologically realistic interaction. Differentiation of conformations A and B is hampered by the flexibility of the N-terminal helix, which was incomplete in the crystal structures [2-4]. It should also be noted that the static crystal structures used for docking and cross-link evaluation will limit the average distances, and in reality, they are expected to be larger as a consequence of N-terminal flexibility. Moreover, the rigid placement of the N-termini across the cytoplasmic face of FocA may limit interaction between FocA and PflB. Finally, the modeling procedure only estimates the local flexibility of the protein during the cross-linking process. This is accomplished by ensemble docking energy-minimized structures but most likely underestimates the true flexibility of the proteins. These caveats notwithstanding our studies provide the first clear demonstration of a proteinprotein interaction having consequences for the control of the substrate specificity and potentially gating of a member of the FNT superfamily. These findings open up new possibilities to study the mechanisms controlling substrate movement through these evolutionarily ancient anion channels. Moreover, a further exciting challenge will be to determine whether this regulatory principle for controlling anion movement through channels extends to other FNT family members in archaea and lower eukaryotes.

Materials and Methods

Strains, plasmids and growth conditions

All bacterial strains and plasmids used are listed in Table 1. Strains were grown at 37 °C in Luria Broth [35] or the buffered, rich medium TYEP [TYEP: 1% (w/v) tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract and 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5] including 04% (w/v) glucose (TGYEP) as previously described [36]. Cells used for the preparation of membrane fractions were cultivated as previously described [18]. BL21 cells used for the overproduction of FocA variants were grown in TB medium [18]. The cells used for the overproduction of PfIB were grown aerobically in TB medium at 30 °C. The cultivation of the cells for the

bacterial two-hybrid system (BACTH System; Euromedex GmbH) was performed under aerobic and anaerobic conditions in Luria Broth medium at 30 °C in 15 ml Hungate tubes [37].

Standard aerobic cultivation of bacteria was performed on a rotary shaker (250 rpm) and at 37 °C. Anaerobic growths were performed at 37 °C in sealed bottles filled with anaerobic growth medium. When required, the growth medium was solidified with 1.5% (w/v) agar. All growth media were supplemented with 0.1% (v/v) SLA trace element solution [38]. The antibiotics chloramphenicol, kanamycin and ampicillin, when required, were added to the medium at the final concentrations of 12.5 μ g/ml, 50 μ g/ml and 100 μ g/ml, respectively.

Construction of the plasmids for the bacterial two-hybrid system

Genomic DNA from *E. coli* MC4100 was isolated using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). The amplification of the different *focA* and *pflB* gene constructs was carried out using the primers described in Table S1 and the Phusion DNA polymerase (Finnzyme). The resulting PCR products were cloned into the vector pKNT25, pKT25, pUT18 or pUT18C (digested with PstI and EcoRI). The plasmids were sequenced to ensure the authenticity of the cloned DNA fragments. Plasmids were transformed into DHM1 via electroporation and tested exactly as described by the manufacturer (Euromedex GmbH).

Sub-cellular fractionation of *E. coli* crude extracts to examine PfIB–FocA interaction

Harvested cells (1 g wet weight) were resuspended in 3 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCI (buffer A) containing 1 mg lysozyme, 0.1 µM PMSF and 15 µg DNase I. After incubation for 30 min at 30 °C, cells were disrupted on ice by sonication (Sonoplus Bandlin, Berlin) using a KE76 tip, 30 W power and 3 cycles of 4 min treatment with 0.5 s intervals. Unbroken cells and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 43,000g for 45 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was termed the crude extract and this was further fractionated by centrifugation at 105,000g for 45 min at 4 °C in a Discovery M120 SE AT4 table-top ultracentrifuge (Sorvall). The supernatant was carefully decanted and represented the soluble fraction while the pellet was resuspended in the original volume of buffer A and centrifuged again as described above to remove contaminating soluble proteins. Finally, the pellet was resuspended in 0.1 ml of buffer A and this represented the membrane fraction.

To examine the effect of increasing the NaCl concentration on the interaction between FocA and PfIB, we prepared the membrane fraction in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) without NaCl, in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) with 150 mM NaCl, in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) with 250 mM NaCl and in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) with 500 mM NaCl. After the first centrifugation step, the soluble fraction (S) was decanted, the pellet resuspended in the original volume of buffer containing the indicated NaCl concentration and centrifuged again. The supernatant was referred to as the wash fraction (W) and the pellet was resuspended in 0.1 ml buffer A and was referred to as the membrane (M) fraction.

PAGE and immunoblotting

Aliquots of 25–50 μ g of protein from the indicated sub-cellular fractions were separated by SDS-PAGE using either 10% (w/v), 12.5% (w/v) or 15% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels [39] and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes as previously described [40]. Antibodies raised against PfIB (1:3000) [1], a FocA peptide (1:500) [18], purified FocA (1:2000) or aconitase A (AcnA) from *E. coli* (1:5000; a kind gift from J. Green Sheffield, UK) were used. Secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase was obtained from Bio-Rad. Visualization was done by the enhanced chemiluminescent reaction (Agilent Technologies). The N-terminally Strep-tagged FocA fusion protein was purified according to [18] and used to raise polyclonal antibodies in rabbits using the procedures of the company Seqlab (Germany).

Antibody purification

To improve the detection of FocA in Western blot analysis, we produced polyclonal antibodies against the full-length FocA protein in rabbits, using the 3-month standard immunization protocol (Seqlab Göttingen, Germany).

The antibodies were affinity purified from the final serum bleed by using the Amino Link® coupling gel (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, USA) as described by the manufacturer. Elution of the bound antibodies was achieved using 50 mM glycine–HCl (pH 2.5), 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100 and 0.15 M NaCl.

In Western blot analysis, the FocA antibody was used in a 1:2000 dilution with 5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin in phosphate buffer and the secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Bio-Rad, München, Germany) was used according the manufacturer's instruction.

Purification of tagged proteins and pull-down assay with Streptactin-coated magnetic beads

Strep-tagged FocA derivatives were purified exactly according to Ref. [18].

To identify possible interactions between two proteins, we used the pull-down assay with a 5% suspension of Streptactin magnetic beads (Mag Strep "type I" beads, IBA Biotagnology). Aliquots (20 μ g) of purified FocA with an N-terminal or a C-terminal Strep-tag were added to 25 μ l of the Streptactin-coated magnetic beads and washed several times with buffer W [100 mM Tris–HCI, 150 mM NaCI, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 1 mM *n*-dodecyl- β -maltoside (DDM) (pH 8.0)]. Afterwards the beads were incubated by gentle agitation for 2 h at 4 °C with 100–1000 μ g of different soluble fractions derived from different anaerobically grown *E. coli* strains. After extensive washing with 10 ml buffer W, we eluted bound proteins by using 50 μ l of buffer W containing 2.5 mM α -desthiobiotin.

SDAD cross-linking

Strep-tagged FocA was diluted with 100 mM Mops, 150 mM NaCl and 0.03% (w/v) DDM (pH 7.0) to give a final protein concentration of 10 μ M. A solution (48.4 mM) of the amine-reactive/photo-reactive cross-linker SDAD

FocA-Pyruvate Formate-Lyase Interaction

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) in DMSO was added in a 50-fold molar excess over FocA. FocA was modified with the amine-reactive site of SDAD by incubation for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Non-reacted cross-linker was removed using Amicon ultra-filtration units (0.5 ml, 10 kDa cutoff; Millipore) before SDAD-modified FocA was recovered in 100 mM Mops, 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM DDM (pH 7.0). A fraction of soluble proteins from *E. coli* strain REK702 (protein content of 600 μ M) was added to SDAD-modified FocA to give a final protein concentration of 100 μ M. Photo-cross-linking between FocA and its binding partners was induced by irradiation with long wavelength UV light (maximum at 365 nm, 8000 mJ/cm²).

BS²G cross-linking

Prior to chemical cross-linking with the homobifunctional amine-reactive cross-linker BS²G, protein preparations of FocA and PfIB were subjected to buffer exchange using Amicon ultra-filtration units (0.5 ml, 10 kDa cutoff; Millipore). FocA and PfIB were recovered in 100 mM Mops, 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM DDM (pH 7.0) and were mixed to a final concentration of 20 μ M FocA (pentamer) and 13.1 μ M PfIB (dimer). Afterwards, a solution (504 mM) of BS²G (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in DMSO was added to a final concentration of 25 mM. BS²G cross-linking was carried out for 30 min at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was quenched using Tris (final concentration of 50 mM).

Affinity purification of FocA complexes

Cross-linked complexes between FocA and binding proteins were purified using a gravity-flow column filled with 1 ml of Streptactin Sepharose High Performance Matrix (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences). The column was equilibrated with at least five column volumes of equilibration buffer [100 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM DDM, pH 8.0] and the sample was mixed with 2 ml of the same buffer before loading it onto the column. The column was washed with 15 column volumes of equilibration buffer before FocA–protein complexes were eluted with 100 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DDM and 2.5 mM desthiobiotin (pH 8.0).

SDS-PAGE and in-gel digestion

SDS-PAGE (12% resolving gel) under non-reducing conditions was used to visualize FocA complexes. After staining the gel with Coomassie Brilliant Blue, we excised cross-linked complexes and in-gel digested them with trypsin (for the interaction partner screening approach) or with a mixture of trypsin and GluC (for the modeling approach) (both enzymes from Promega) following an established protocol [41].

Mass spectrometry

In-gel digested peptide mixtures were analyzed by LC/MS on an UltiMate Nano-HPLC system (LC Packings/Dionex) coupled to an LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a nanoelectrospray ionization source (Proxeon). The samples were loaded onto a trapping column (Acclaim PepMap C18, 100 µm × 20 mm, 5 µm, 100 Å, LC Packings) and washed for 15 min with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid at a flow rate of 20 µl/min. Trapped peptides were eluted on a separation column (Acclaim PepMap C18, 75 µm × 250 mm, 3 µm, 100 Å, LC Packings) that had been equilibrated with 100% A (5% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid). Peptides were separated with linear gradients from 0% to 40% B [80% (v/v) acetonitrile and 0.08% (v/v) formic acid] over 60 or 90 min. The column was kept at 30 °C and the flow rate was 300 nl/min. MS data were acquired throughout the duration of the gradient using the data-dependent MS/MS mode. Each high-resolution full scan (m/z of 300-2000 and resolution of 60,000) in the Orbitrap analyzer was followed by five product ion scans (collision-induced dissociation-MS/MS) in the linear ion trap for the five most intense signals of the full scan mass spectrum (isolation window, 2.5 Th). Dynamic exclusion (repeat count, 3; exclusion duration, 180 s) was enabled to allow detection of less abundant ions. Data analysis was performed using the Proteome Discoverer 1.2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific); MS/MS data of precursor ions in the m/z range 500–5000 were searched against the SwissProt Database (version 11/2010, taxonomy E. coli, 22,729 entries) using Mascot (version 2.2, Matrixscience); mass accuracy was set to 3 ppm and 0.8 Da for precursor and fragment ions, respectively; carbamidomethylation of cysteines was set as fixed modification and oxidation of methionine as variable modification; and up to three missed cleavages of trypsin were allowed.

Identification of cross-linked products

Analysis and identification of cross-linked products were performed with the in-house software StavroX [42]. StavroX was used for automatic comparison of MS and MS/MS data from Mascot generic format (mgf) files. Potential cross-links were manually evaluated. A maximum mass deviation of 3 ppm between theoretical and experimental masses and a signal-to-noise ratio \geq 2 were allowed. Lys, Ser, Thr, Tyr and N-termini were considered as potential cross-linking sites for the sulfo-NHS ester BS²G [43]. Additionally, carbamidomethylation of Cys and oxidation of Met were taken into account as potential modifications, in addition to three missed cleavage sites for each amino acid Lys, Arg, Glu and Asp.

Molecular modeling of PfIB–FocA interaction

Structural diversity of *E. coli* FocA was generated through homology modeling. N-termini, Ω -loops and membranespanning channels of *E. coli* (3kcu and 3kcv) (PMID: 19940917), *S. typhimurium* (3q7k) (PMID: 21493860) and *Vibrio cholerae* (3kly and 3klz) (PMID: 20010838) were collected; categorized as "closed", "intermediate" or "open"; and randomly recombined through threading of the *E. coli* sequence and grafting of atomic coordinates. Conformations of the N-termini in the open conformation are not resolved in the available crystal structures. Therefore, conformations were generated through *de novo* modeling by fragment insertion [26] and/or grafting of intermediate and closed conformations. As in the *S. typhimurium* [4] and *V. cholerae* [3] structures, protomers A and C are modeled in the intermediate conformation, protomers B and D are in the

closed conformation and protomer E is in the open conformation. These grafted and threaded models were energy minimized with the Rosetta relax protocol [28]. Atomic coordinates for E. coli PfIB (1h16) were energy minimized in the presence of coenzyme A and pyruvate [33] via the relax protocol. FocA and PfIB were docked with the coarse-grained, centroid-based (low-resolution) component of the Rosetta protein-protein docking algorithm (PMID: 21829626) after random orientation and rotation of PfIB on the cytoplasmic face of FocA. The resulting 50,000 models were sorted by interchain interaction energy and the top 10% for fulfillment of the cross-links were chosen. Ensembles for each major cluster are represented as electron density in Fig. 6, which is simulated using BCL::PDBToDensity (PMID: 21565271) at 20 Å resolution with a voxel size of 5 after overlaying each of the models in the cluster.

Other methods

Protein concentration was determined according to Ref. [44].

The β -galactosidase activity was determined and calculated according to Refs. [1] and [35]. Each experiment was performed three times independently and the activities for each sample were determined in triplicate. The activities are presented with standard deviations.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the GRK1026 (conformational transitions in macromolecular interactions) from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and by the "Exzellenzinitiative" of the region of Saxony-Anhalt. Work in the Meiler laboratory is supported through National Institutes of Health (R01 GM080403, R01 MH090192, R01 GM099842 and R01 DK097376) and National Science Foundation (BIO Career 0742762 and CHE 1305874). Jeff Green from the University of Sheffield is thanked for supplying us with *E. coli*–AcnA antiserum.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2014.05.023.

Received 2 May 2014; Received in revised form 21 May 2014; Accepted 23 May 2014 Available online xxxx

Keywords:

chemical cross-linking; computational docking model; formate-nitrite transporter; fermentation; protein-protein interaction Present address: C. Doberenz, MedSkin Solutions Dr. Suwelack AG, Josef-Suwelack-Strasse, 48727 Billerbeck, Germany. C.D. and M.Z. contributed equally to this work.

Abbreviations used:

MS, mass spectrometry; MS/MS, tandem mass spectrometry; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; DDM, *n*-dodecyl-β-maltoside; LC, liquid chromatography.

References

- [1] Suppmann B, Sawers G. Isolation and characterisation of hypophosphite-resistant mutants of *Escherichia coli*: identification of the FocA protein, encoded by the *pfl* operon, as a putative formate transporter. Mol Microbiol 1994;11:965–82.
- [2] Wang Y, Huang Y, Wang J, Cheng C, Huang W, Lu P, et al. Structure of the formate transporter FocA reveals a pentameric aquaporin-like channel. Nature 2009;462:467–72.
- [3] Waight AB, Love J, Wang DN. Structure and mechanism of a pentameric formate channel. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2010;17:31–7.
- [4] Lü W, Du J, Wacker T, Gerbig-Smentek E, Andrade SL, Einsle O. pH-dependent gating in a FocA formate channel. Science 2011;332:352–4.
- [5] Czyzewski BK, Wang DN. Identification and characterization of a bacterial hydrosulphide ion channel. Nature 2012;483:494–7.
- [6] Unkles SE, Symington VF, Kotur Z, Wang Y, Siddiqi MY, Kinghorn JR, et al. Physiological and biochemical characterization of AnNitA, the *Aspergillus nidulans* high affinity nitrite transporter. Eukaryot Cell 2010;10:1724–32.
- [7] Waight AB, Czyzewski BK, Wang DN. Ion selectivity and gating mechanisms of FNT channels. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2013;23:499–506.
- [8] Lü W, Du J, Schwarzer NJ, Gerbig-Smentek E, Einsle O, Andrade SL. The formate channel FocA exports the products of mixed-acid fermentation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012;109:13254–9.
- [9] Lü W, Schwarzer NJ, Du J, Gerbig-Smentek E, Andrade SL, Einsle O. Structural and functional characterization of the nitrite channel NirC from *Salmonella typhimurium*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012;109:18395–400.
- [10] Lü W, Du J, Schwarzer NJ, Wacker T, Andrade SL, Einsle O. The formate/nitrite transporter family of anion channels. Biol Chem 2013;394:715–27.
- [11] Knappe J, Sawers G. A radical route to acetyl-CoA: the anaerobically induced pyruvate formate-lyase system of *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiol Rev 1990;75:383–98.
- [12] Sawers G, Blokesch M, Böck, A. Anaerobic formate and hydrogen metabolism. September 2004, posting date. Chapter 3.5.4. In: Curtiss R, III (Editor in Chief), EcoSal—Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology. [Online.] http://www.ecosal.org. Washington, DC: ASM Press; 2004.
- [13] Wagner AF, Frey M, Neugebauer FA, Schäfer W, Knappe J. The free radical in pyruvate formate-lyase is located on glycine-735. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992;89:996–1000.
- [14] Becker A, Fritz-Wolf K, Kabsch W, Knappe J, Schulz S, Wagner AFV. Structure and mechanism of the glycyl radical enzyme pyruvate formate-lyase. Nat Struct Biol 1999;6:969–75.
- [15] Sawers RG. Formate and its role in hydrogen production in *E. coli.* Biochem Soc Trans 2005;33:42–6.

FocA-Pyruvate Formate-Lyase Interaction

- [16] Rossmann R, Sawers G, Böck A. Mechanism of regulation of the formate-hydrogenlyase pathway by oxygen, nitrate, and pH: definition of the formate regulon. Mol Microbiol 1991;5:2807–14.
- [17] Beyer L, Doberenz C, Falke D, Hunger D, Suppmann B, Sawers RG. Coordinating FocA and pyruvate formate-lyase synthesis in *Escherichia coli*: preferential translocation of formate over other mixed-acid fermentation products. J Bacteriol 2013;195:1428–35.
- [18] Falke D, Schulz K, Doberenz C, Beyer L, Lilie H, Thiemer B, et al. Unexpected oligomeric structure of the FocA formate channel of *Escherichia coli*: a paradigm for the formate-nitrite transporter family of integral membrane proteins. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2010;303:69–75.
- [19] Christiansen L, Pedersen S. Cloning, restriction endonuclease mapping and post-transcriptional regulation of *rpsA*, the structural gene for ribosomal protein S1. Mol Gen Genet 1981;181:548–51.
- [20] Karimova G, Pidoux J, Ullmann A, Ladant D. A bacterial twohybrid system based on a reconstituted signal transduction pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998;95:5752–6.
- [21] Külzer R, Pils T, Kappl R, Hüttermann J, Knappe J. Reconstitution and characterization of the polynuclear ironsulfur cluster in pyruvate formate-lyase-activating enzyme. Molecular properties of the holoenzyme form. J Biol Chem 1998;273:4897–903.
- [22] Dimova K, Kalkhof S, Pottratz I, Ihling C, Rodriguez F, Liepold T, et al. Structural insights into the calmodulin/ Munc13 interaction by cross-linking and mass spectrometry. Biochemistry 2009;48:5908–21.
- [23] Kalisman N, Adams CM, Levitt M. Subunit order of eukaryotic TRiC/CCT chaperonin by cross-linking, mass spectrometry, and combinatorial homology modeling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012;109:2884–9.
- [24] Herzog F, Kahraman A, Boehringer D, Mak R, Bracher A, Walzthoeni T, et al. Structural probing of a protein phosphatase 2A network by chemical cross-linking and mass spectrometry. Science 2012;337:1348–52.
- [25] Mandell DJ, Coutsias EA, Kortemme T. Sub-angstrom accuracy in protein loop reconstruction by robotics-inspired conformational sampling. Nat Methods 2009;6:551–2.
- [26] Rohl CA, Strauss CE, Misura KM, Baker D. Protein structure prediction using Rosetta. Methods Enzymol 2004;383:66-93.
- [27] Fleishman SJ, Leaver-Fay A, Corn JE, Strauch EM, Khare SD, Koga N, et al. RosettaScripts: a scripting language interface to the Rosetta macromolecular modeling suite. PLoS One 2011;6: e20161.
- [28] Qian B, Raman S, Das R, Bradley P, McCoy AJ, Read RJ, et al. High-resolution structure prediction and the crystallographic phase problem. Nature 2007;450:259–64.
- [29] Gray JJ, Moughon S, Wang C, Scheuler-Furman O, Kuhlman B, Rohl CA, et al. Protein–protein docking with simultaneous

optimization of rigid-body displacement and side-chain conformation. J Mol Biol 2003;331:281–99.

- [30] Gruswitz F, O'Connell J, Stroud RM. Inhibitory complex of the transmembrane ammonia channel, AmtB, and the cytosolic regulatory protein, GlnK, at 1.96 Å. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007;104:42–7.
- [31] Zhang J, Sprung R, Pei J, Tan X, Kim S, Zhu H, et al. Lysine acetylation is a highly abundant and evolutionarily conserved modification in *Escherichia coli*. Mol Cell Proteomics 2009;8:215–25.
- [32] Kessler D, Herth W, Knappe J. Ultrastructure and pyruvate formate-lyase quenching property of the multienzymic AdhE protein of *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem 1992;267:18073–9.
- [33] Becker A, Kabsch W. X-ray structure of pyruvate formatelyase in complex with pyruvate and CoA. How the enzyme uses the Cys-418 thiyl radical for pyruvate cleavage. J Biol Chem 2002;277:40036–42.
- [34] Lv X, Liu H, Ke M, Gong H. Exploring the pH-dependent substrate transport mechanism of FocA using molecular dynamics simulation. Biophys J 2013;105:2714–23.
- [35] Miller J. Experiments in Molecular Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 1972.
- [36] Begg Y, Whyte J, Haddock B. The identification of mutants of *Escherichia coli* deficient in formate dehydrogenase and nitrate reductase activities using dye indicator plates. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1977;2:47–50.
- [37] Pinske C, Sawers RG. The role of the ferric-uptake regulator Fur and iron homeostasis in controlling levels of the [NiFe]hydrogenases in *Escherichia coli*. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2010;35:8938–44.
- [38] Hormann K, Andreesen JR. Reductive cleavage of sarcosine and betaine by *Eubacterium acidaminophilum* via enzyme systems different from glycine reductase. Arch Microbiol 1989;153:50–9.
- [39] Laemmli U. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 1970;227:680–5.
- [40] Towbin H, Staehelin T, Gordon J. Electrophoretic transfer of proteins from polyacrylamide gels to nitrocellulose sheets: procedure and some applications. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1979;76:4350–4.
- [41] Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havliš J, Olsen JV, Mann M. In-gel digestion for mass spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. Nat Protoc 2007;1:2856–60.
- [42] Götze M, Pettelkau J, Schaks S, Bosse K, Ihling CH, Krauth F, et al. StavroX—a software for analyzing cross-linked products in protein interaction studies. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2012;23:76–87.
- [43] Kalkhof S, Sinz A. Chances and pitfalls of chemical crosslinking with amine-reactive *N*-hydroxy succinimide esters. Anal Bioanal Chem 2008;392:305–12.
- [44] Lowry O, Rosebrough N, Farr A, Randall R. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 1951;193:265–75.