Structure of a Class C GPCR Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor 1 Bound to an Allosteric Modulator

Huixian Wu,^{1*} Chong Wang,^{1*} Karen J. Gregory,^{2,3} Gye Won Han,¹ Hyekyung P. Cho,² Yan Xia,⁴ Colleen M. Niswender,² Vsevolod Katritch,¹ Jens Meiler,⁴ Vadim Cherezov,¹ P. Jeffrey Conn,² Raymond C. Stevens¹[†]

¹Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA. ²Department of Pharmacology and Vanderbilt Center for Neuroscience Drug Discovery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA. ³Drug Discovery Biology, Monash Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Monash University, Parkville, Victoria, Australia. ⁴Center for Structural Biology and Department of Chemistry and Institute for Chemical Biology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

†Corresponding author. E-mail: stevens@scripps.edu

The excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate induces modulatory actions via the metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGlus), which are class C G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). We determined the 2.8 Å resolution structure of the human mGlu₁ receptor seven-transmembrane (7TM) domain bound to a negative allosteric modulator FITM. The modulator binding site partially overlaps with the orthosteric binding sites of class A GPCRs, but is more restricted compared to most other GPCRs. We observed a parallel 7TM dimer, mediated by cholesterols, suggesting that signaling initiated by glutamate's interaction with the extracellular domain might be mediated via 7TM interactions within the full-length receptor dimer. A combination of crystallography, structure-activity relationships, mutagenesis, and full-length dimer modeling provides insights on the allosteric modulation and activation mechanism of class C GPCRs.

The human G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) superfamily is composed of over 800 seven transmembrane (7TM) receptors that can be divided into four classes based on their sequence homology: class A, B, C, and Frizzled (F) (1). Class C GPCRs play important roles in many physiological processes such as synaptic transmission, taste sensation and calcium homeostasis, and include metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGlu), y-aminobutyric acid B receptors (GABA_B), calcium sensing receptor (CaS), taste 1 receptors (TAS1), as well as a few orphan receptors. A distinguishing feature of class C GPCRs is constitutive homo- or hetero-dimerization mediated by a large N-terminal extracellular domain (ECD) (Fig. 1A). The ECDs within homodimeric receptors (mGlu and CaS) are cross-linked via an intermolecular disulfide bond. The heterodimeric receptors (GABA_B and TAS1) are not covalently linked, but their heterodimerization is required for trafficking to the cell surface and signaling (2). The ECD of class C GPCRs consists of a Venus flytrap domain (VFD), which contains the orthosteric binding site for native ligands (Fig. 1A), and a cysteine-rich domain (CRD), except for GABA_B receptors. The CRD, which mediates the communication between ECD and 7TM domains, is stabilized by disulfide bridges, one of which connects the CRD and VFD (3).

The mGlu family was the first group of class C GPCRs to be cloned (4, 5). Comprised of eight members, the mGlu family can be separated into three subgroups (6), termed groups I (mGlu₁ and mGlu₅), II (mGlu₂ and mGlu₃) and III (mGlu₄₆₇₈), based on their sequence homology, G protein coupling profile, and pharmacology (7). Group I mGlus are predominantly coupled to $G_{a/11}$ and activate phospholipase C_{β} , which hydrolyses phosphoinositides into inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP₃) and diacylglycerol, inducing intracellular calcium mobilization and activating protein kinase C (PKC).

The group I mGlus, mGlu1 and mGlu₅, are considered promising therapeutic targets to treat diseases including cancer, pain, schizophrenia, Alzheimer's disease, anxiety, and autism (7, 8). However, the development of subtype-selective small molecule ligands that might serve as drug candidates for these receptors has been hampered by the conservation of the orthosteric (glutamate) binding site (Fig. 1A). This problem can be overcome by using allosteric modulators that act at alternative binding sites; these compounds bind predominantly within the 7TM domain of the class C \triangleleft receptors. Allosteric modulators can alter the affinity or efficacy of native ligands in positive, negative, and neutral ways, demonstrating a spectrum of activity that cannot be achieved by orthosteric ligands alone. In this study, we report the crystal

structure of the human mGlu₁ 7TM domain bound to a negative allosteric modulator (NAM), 4-fluoro-N-(4-(6-(isopropylamino)pyrimidin-4-

yl)thiazol-2-yl)-N-methylbenzamide

 Septor dimer. A mutagenesis, and odulation and
mutagenesis, and odulation and
(FITM) (9) at 2.8 Å resolution (table S1) (10). This structure provides a 3D framework for understanding the molecular recognition and facilitating the discovery of allosteric modulators for mGlu family and other class C GPCRs. It also complements crystal-graphic studies of the transmembrane domain structures of class A (11, p), B (13, 14) and F (15) GPCRs and extends the knowledge base upon ich to study the diversity and evolution of the GPCR superfamily.
rerall Structure of the mGlu₁ 7TM Domain The human mGlu₁ 7TM domain (residues 581-860) (fig. S1), com-xed with FITM, was crystallized by the lipidic cubic phase method the mGlu family and other class C GPCRs. It also complements crystallographic studies of the transmembrane domain structures of class A (11, 12), B (13, 14) and F (15) GPCRs and extends the knowledge base upon which to study the diversity and evolution of the GPCR superfamily.

Overall Structure of the mGlu₁ 7TM Domain

plexed with FITM, was crystallized by the lipidic cubic phase method using the thermostabilized apocytochrome b₅₆₂RIL (BRIL) N-terminal fusion strategy (10). A series of in vitro pharmacological studies were performed to verify that this truncated construct binds FITM and is functional in G protein coupling (figs. S2 and S3). The structure was solved using a 4.0 Å single wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) dataset collected from a single crystal soaked with tantalum bromide cluster, followed by extending the resolution to 2.8 Å using native data collected from 14 crystals (table S1 and fig. S4).

The mGlu₁ 7TM domain forms a parallel dimer in each asymmetric unit with a dimer interface mediated mainly through helix I (Fig. 1B; see also figs. S5 and S6). Interestingly, we observed six well-resolved cholesterol molecules packed against hydrophobic residues on the extracellular side of helices I and II, mediating the dimer formation. The extracellular loop (ECL) 2 adopts a β -hairpin conformation, pointing to the extracellular space, which has also been observed in many peptide class A GPCRs (16, 17). This β -hairpin is connected to the top of helix III through a disulfide bond (C657-C746) that is conserved through all classes of GPCRs. The mGlu₁ NAM, FITM, binds within a pocket formed by the 7TM bundle close to the extracellular side (Fig. 1, B and C), a region

partially overlapping with the orthosteric binding sites observed for class A GPCRs (11). The intracellular loop (ICL) 1 forms an ordered helical turn, while a large part of ICL2 (residues 688-695 in molecule A and residues 689-693 in molecule B) is missing in the structure due to the long and presumably flexible nature of this loop. ICL3 is well resolved and forms a short link connecting the intracellular ends of helices V and VI. In addition, we did not observe helix VIII, reported in most class A GPCR structures, as well as in classes B and F. Instead, electron densities for C-terminal residues (844-860 in molecule A and 847-860 in molecule B) are missing in the mGlu₁ structure, indicating that this region can be disordered.

Major Structural Differences with Other GPCR Classes

Superposition of 7TM domains between $mGlu_1$ and GPCRs of different classes (fig. S7) reveals that, despite the lack of sequence conservation (<15% identical residues) or common functional motifs (figs. S8 and S9), the overall fold is preserved across the whole GPCR superfamily (RMSD <3.5 Å for 7TM regions). Based on the structural superposition, we generated a structure-based alignment in the 7TM domain with class A GPCRs and transplanted the class A Ballesteros & Weinstein (B&W) numbering (*18*) to class C GPCRs (figs. S8 and S9) (*19*).

Differences, however, are observed in the 7TM helices between class C and other classes, including distinct distribution patterns of proline-induced kinks in the helical backbone. Instead of having conserved prolines in the X.50 position of helices V, VI and VII, which induce kinks as observed in class A, residues at 5.50, 6.50 and 7.50 positions in mGlu₁ are all non-proline residues (fig. S8). Notably, P833^{7.56} (*20*) at the intracellular end of helix VII in mGlu₁ induces a kink, resulting in an outward orientation of the C-terminal part of this helix (fig. S7B). In contrast, the proline conserved in the class A NP^{7.50}xxY motif is on the opposite side of helix VII and induces an inward kink (fig. S7B).

Helices I-IV of mGlu₁ overlay relatively well with other GPCR structures, while helices V-VII demonstrate more obvious differences. Compared to class A and B receptors (Fig. 2, A and B), helix V of mGlu₁ is shifted inward to the center of the 7TM bundle. Additionally, the extracellular end of helix VII is shifted inward compared to all other classes. These shifted helices, together with ECL2, restrict access to the NAM binding cavity (Fig. 2, D and E). The recently solved class F smoothened receptor (*15*) also has a narrow cavity embedded in the extracellular half of its 7TM bundle resulting partly from the inward positioning of helix V, but its ECL2 β -hairpin is located inside the 7TM bundle and forces an outward shift of helix VII as compared to mGlu₁ (Fig. 2C). This more restricted 7TM cavity in class C receptors is consistent with interactions of known native ligands with the ECD rather than the 7TM domain.

The FITM Binding Pocket

Analogous to the orthosteric site for many family A GPCRs, the binding pocket for the ligand FITM is defined by residues on helices II, III, V, VI, VII and ECL2 (Fig. 1C and fig. S10). ECL2 forms a lid on the top of the ligand binding cavity, leaving a small opening through which the pocket is accessible from the extracellular side (Fig. 2, D and E). The ligand, FITM, fits tightly into the long and narrow pocket. Most of the ligand-receptor interactions are hydrophobic with the exception of the ⁸ side chain. contacts of the pyrimidine-amine group with the T815^{7.3} Substitution of T815^{7.38} with methionine or alanine reduces the affinity and potency of FITM (Fig. 3B, fig. S11F, and table S2), as well as other mGlu₁ NAMs from different scaffolds (21-23). The p-fluorophenyl moiety of the ligand points to the bottom of the pocket, making contacts with W798^{6.48}, a residue that is conserved among mGlus as well as in many class A receptors. However, unlike the conformation of the W^{6.48} side chain observed in most class A GPCRs, which points into the center of the helical bundle, W798^{6.48} in the structure of FITM-bound mGlu₁

points outward. This conformation of the bulky indole group is accommodated by $G761^{5.48}$ on helix V which has no side chain (Fig. 1C). However, in other mGlus except mGlu₅, residues at position 5.48 have relatively large side chains and W^{6.48} may adopt a different conformation.

Determinants of Subtype Selectivity Within the Common Allosteric Site

Previous mutagenesis studies have proposed at least one common allosteric site for the mGlu family within the 7TM domain. The mGlu₁ binding pocket for FITM (Fig. 1C) largely corresponds to mutagenic data for the common allosteric site in mGlus and likely extends to other class C GPCRs (see more details in table S3). Despite the evidence that binding of various chemotypes of class C GPCR allosteric modulators involve similar residue positions, many mGlu modulators display a high degree of subtype selectivity, including FITM which shows high affinity $(K_i = 2.5 \text{ nM}, \text{ fig. S2})$ and selectivity for mGlu₁ over mGlu₅ (fig. S12) (9). Examination of the contact residues in the binding pocket reveals only four residues of mGlu₁ that differ from mGlu₅: V664^{3.32}, S668^{3.36}, T815^{7.38}, and A818^{7.41}, all of which have previously been implicated in subtype selectivity by mutagenesis-based studies (24-26). Therefore, we mutated these four residues to their corresponding amino acid in mGlu₅ (fig. S11 and table S2) and compared FITM-mediated antagonism of the mutant receptors to the wide-type (WT) full-length human mGlu₁ (Fig. 3A). Methionine substitution of T815^{7.38} (Fig. 3B) had the most profound effect, reducing FITM affinity ~6 fold and decreasing negative cooperativity with glutamate (table S2). Thus, T815^{7.38} is a key selectivity determinant for FITM, consistent with the observed polar interaction between T815^{7.38} and the ligand in the structure.

In addition, we assessed mutations known to influence the allosteric modulation of other mGlu subtypes that had not previously been explored in mGlu₁. T794^{6.44}A and S822^{7.45}A had no effect on FITM; while P756^{5.43}S significantly reduced FITM affinity (~ 3 fold) as well as negative cooperativity (Fig. 3C and table S2). Location of P756^{5.43} in the ligand binding pocket suggests that a P756^{5.43}S mutation may induce conformational changes in the backbone altering the shape of the binding pocket in relation to the thiazole core of FITM. Interestingly, multiple mGlu5 modulator scaffolds are known to be sensitive to mutations of two non-conserved residues, $S^{6.39}$ and $A^{7.46}$ (23, 25, 27–31); neither is observed here as contributing to the FITM binding pocket. However, both of these residues contribute to a small pocket separated from the FITM pocket by the Y672^{3.40} side chain. Given that $\hat{S}^{3.36}$ in mGlu₁ is replaced by P^{3.36} in mGlu₅, it is conceivable that the proline induced kink in helix III particular to mGlu₅ can significantly change the shape of the pocket, making $S^{6.39}$ and $A^{7.46}$ of mGlu₅ accessible to ligands.

To further improve our understanding of the critical ligand-receptor interactions for FITM binding within the pocket, we docked a selection of FITM analogs (Fig. 3, D to G, and fig. S13) (9) into the crystal structure. Re-docking FITM (fig. S13, A to C) and analyzing the binding energy contribution per residue (fig. S13D) revealed that T815^{7.38} forms an energetically favorable hydrogen bond with FITM (fig. S13, C and D). Compound 17 lacks not only the hydrogen bond with T815^{7.38}, but D). Compound 17 facts not only the hydrogen could interpret also a non-polar interaction with $L648^{2.60}$ (Fig. 3, D and E); however, a potential hydrogen bond between $Q660^{3.28}$, which is not observed in FITM binding (fig. S11A and table S2), may compensate for this loss and account for the retained activity at 10 nM. The 3-pyridyl analog (compound 14, Fig. 3, D and E) lacks this potential interaction with Q660^{3.28}, accounting for its further decreased potency (230 nM). Compound 28 exhibits approximately 10 fold lower potency and differs from FITM by the introduction of a methyl group to the amine on the pyrimidine ring. Docking compound 28 reveals a major energy penalty that arises from the loss of a polar interaction and the introduction of steric clash with T815^{7.38} (Fig. 3, D and F). Compound 28 also lacks a polar

interaction with Q660^{3.28} and requires movement of T815^{7.38} and Y805^{6.55} to accommodate the methyl group (Fig. 3F). Compound 22 (Fig. 3, D and G), which contains an iso-propyl group on the amide linker, requires movement of two residues in helix V (P756^{5.43} and L757^{5.44}) to fit in the pocket, and also lacks hydrogen bonding capacity with either T815^{7.38} or Q660^{3.28}, accounting for its reduced (micromolar) potency. Collectively, by comparing the binding of FITM with those of other less active or inactive compounds, we attribute the superior potency observed for FITM to the polar interaction between T815^{7.38} and the amine derivative on the 5' position of the pyrimidine ring, as well as the perfect fit of the ligand shape within the narrow binding pocket.

NAM-Bound mGlu₁ Is in an Inactive State

In the NAM-bound structure of mGlu₁, the intracellular site responsible for G protein interaction is in a conformation similar to the inactive conformation observed in class A GPCRs (Fig. 4, A to C). One of the interactions apparently stabilizing this conformation is a salt bridge between the $K678^{3.46}$ side chain at the intracellular end of helix III and the E783^{6.33} side chain at the intracellular end of helix VI (Fig. 4, D and E); both residues are well conserved in all class C receptors. In class A GPCRs, a similar interaction called an "ionic lock" is observed between the conserved $R^{3.50}$ of the D(E) $R^{3.50}$ Y motif and an acidic residue in the 6.30 position. The "ionic lock" plays a role in stabilizing the receptor's inactive state, by restricting the activation-related outward movement of helix VI. In addition to the salt bridge between K678^{3.46} and E783^{6.3} $S626^{ICL1}$, a residue conserved in most class C GPCRs, also participates in this interaction network. $S625^{ICL1}$ and $N780^{ICL3}$ form a hydrogen bond, stabilizing the interaction between ICL1 and ICL3, and further occluding the G protein binding site (Fig. 4, D and E). Thus, polar interactions within the intracellular crevice may be involved in the regulation of G protein binding and receptor activation in both class A and C GPCRs, though through distinct residue positions.

Communication Between ECD and 7TM Domains

In the mGlu receptor family, as well as in other class C GPCRs, a signal is initiated by the native ligand binding to the ECD, which induces conformational changes in the ECD. In our structure, the linker region (I581-E592) between the ECD and 7TM domain is resolved. The linker forms strong interactions with the ECL2 β-sheet through main chain and side chain hydrogen bonds (Fig. 5A). ECL2 is connected by a covalent disulfide bond to the top of helix III, known to be important in triggering activation in class A GPCRs (32). This observation raises a possibility that this interaction network might contribute to the communication between the ECD and the 7TM domain during receptor activation. In addition, part of the linker residues (e.g. W588, a residue conserved in all mGlus) insert into the lipid bilayer, where they form extensive contacts with cholesterol molecules that mediate the observed dimerization of the 7TM domain (fig. S6). These interactions suggest a potential role of dimerization and/or lipid components in the coupling between the ECD and 7TM domain during the activation process.

ECDs of class C GPCRs mediate receptor homo- and heterodimerization (2). Several dimeric structures of mGlu receptor VFDs have been solved in different conformations: putative active (A) or resting (R) state defined by the relative orientation between the VFD protomers as well as closed (c) or open (o) states defined by the conformation of each VFD (33). Comparing different conformations, the distance between the C-terminal ends of the ECDs within a dimer changes dramatically (3). In our crystal structure of the 7TM domain, we observed a parallel dimer mediated by interactions of helix I and cholesterols. In this dimer conformation, the distance between the N-terminal linkers that are attached to the C-termini of ECDs is ~ 20 Å. If this is a conformation that can be adopted by the full-length receptor dimer, the CRDs of each protomer should also be in close proximity. Disulfide

bond crosslinking experiments suggested that the CRDs of each protomer may form close contact in an activated receptor dimer (34). Although our structure is solved in complex with a NAM and the 7TM domain appears to be in an inactive state, there is evidence supporting the existence of a glutamate-bound, but signaling incapable state, in the full-length mGlu dimer (35). Moreover, there is evidence that cholesterol can positively modulate glutamate responses by recruiting mGlus to lipid rafts (31, 36), consistent with the observation that the close proximity of the N terminus of the 7TM domain results from a dimer conformation mediated by multiple cholesterol molecules. To test the possibility of fitting the existing ECD structures into our observed 7TM dimer conformation, we created a full-length dimer model in which the VFD adopts an Acc (active closed-closed) conformation as this conformation has the closest distance (~ 50 Å) between the C-termini of the ECDs (3) (Fig. 5B). A 20° rotation was applied to the CRD coupled with a conformational change in the Q513-V523 loop region that reduces this distance to 20 Å, fulfilling the CRD interface proposed in the cysteine mutant study (34), as well as matching the distance of the 7TM domain N-termini observed in the crystallographic dimer. This model might represent a glutamate bound, but signaling incapable, conformation of mGlu₁. While consistent with the currently available experimental data, we acknowledge that this model is only one of the several possible explanations for the biological role of the 7TM domain dimer we observed, and needs to be tested in future studies. We further acknowledge that the 7TM domain dimer conformation might vary in different states of the receptor and may be modulated by several factors in biological systems, such as membrane lipid content or other protein-protein interactions.

The mGlu₁ 7TM structure presented here uncovers atomic details of the class C GPCR transmembrane domain, providing a missing link in our structural understanding of the GPCR superfamily. As noted for the recently solved class B and F GPCR structures, and now for class C, despite a lack of sequence and motif conservation, the architecture of the 7TM bundle is generally preserved. Furthermore, while class C GPCRs are known to form obligate dimers via the ECDs, the observed 7TM dimer suggests additional points of communication between protomers, mediated by multiple cholesterol molecules and direct protein-protein interactions. Moreover, as a robust structural template, the mGlu₁ 7TM domain structure will likely provide insights into pharmacology of small molecule allosteric modulators for class C GPCRs.

References and Notes

- M. C. Lagerström, H. B. Schiöth, Structural diversity of G proteincoupled receptors and significance for drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 7, 339–357 (2008). <u>doi:10.1038/nrd2518</u> <u>Medline</u>
- J. Kniazeff, L. Prézeau, P. Rondard, J. P. Pin, C. Goudet, Dimers and beyond: The functional puzzles of class C GPCRs. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 130, 9–25 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2011.01.006 Medline
- T. Muto, D. Tsuchiya, K. Morikawa, H. Jingami, Structures of the extracellular regions of the group II/III metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 3759–3764 (2007). doi:10.1073/pnas.0611577104 Medline
- K. M. Houamed, J. L. Kuijper, T. L. Gilbert, B. A. Haldeman, P. J. O'Hara, E. R. Mulvihill, W. Almers, F. S. Hagen, Cloning, expression, and gene structure of a G protein–coupled glutamate receptor from rat brain. *Science* 252, 1318–1321 (1991). doi:10.1126/science.1656524 Medline
- M. Masu, Y. Tanabe, K. Tsuchida, R. Shigemoto, S. Nakanishi, Sequence and expression of a metabotropic glutamate receptor. *Nature* 349, 760–765 (1991). doi:10.1038/349760a0 Medline
- S. Nakanishi, Molecular diversity of glutamate receptors and implications for brain function. *Science* 258, 597–603 (1992). doi:10.1126/science.1329206 Medline
- C. M. Niswender, P. J. Conn, Metabotropic glutamate receptors: Physiology, pharmacology, and disease. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 50, 295–322 (2010).

doi:10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.011008.145533 Medline

- G. Dölen, R. L. Carpenter, T. D. Ocain, M. F. Bear, Mechanism-based approaches to treating fragile X. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **127**, 78–93 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2010.02.008 Medline
- A. Satoh, Y. Nagatomi, Y. Hirata, S. Ito, G. Suzuki, T. Kimura, S. Maehara, H. Hikichi, A. Satow, M. Hata, H. Ohta, H. Kawamoto, Discovery and in vitro and in vivo profiles of 4-fluoro-N-[4-[6-(isopropylamino)pyrimidin-4-yl]-1,3-thiazol-2-yl]-N-methylbenzamide as novel class of an orally active metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (mGluR1) antagonist. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*

19, 5464–5468 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.07.097 Medline

- 10. See supplementary materials on Science Online.
- V. Katritch, V. Cherezov, R. C. Stevens, Structure-function of the G protein-coupled receptor superfamily. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 53, 531–556 (2013). <u>doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-032112-135923 Medline</u>
- K. Palczewski, T. Kumasaka, T. Hori, C. A. Behnke, H. Motoshima, B. A. Fox, I. Le Trong, D. C. Teller, T. Okada, R. E. Stenkamp, M. Yamamoto, M. Miyano, Crystal structure of rhodopsin: A G protein– coupled receptor. *Science* 289, 739–745 (2000). doi:10.1126/science.289.5480.739 Medline
- F. Y. Siu, M. He, C. de Graaf, G. W. Han, D. Yang, Z. Zhang, C. Zhou, Q. Xu, D. Wacker, J. S. Joseph, W. Liu, J. Lau, V. Cherezov, V. Katritch, M. W. Wang, R. C. Stevens, Structure of the human glucagon class B G-protein-coupled receptor. *Nature* 499, 444–449 (2013). doi:10.1038/nature12393 Medline
- K. Hollenstein, J. Kean, A. Bortolato, R. K. Cheng, A. S. Doré, A. Jazayeri, R. M. Cooke, M. Weir, F. H. Marshall, Structure of class B GPCR corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1. *Nature* 499, 438–443 (2013). doi:10.1038/nature12357 Medline
- C. Wang, H. Wu, V. Katritch, G. W. Han, X. P. Huang, W. Liu, F. Y. Siu, B. L. Roth, V. Cherezov, R. C. Stevens, Structure of the human smoothened receptor bound to an antitumour agent. *Nature* 497, 338–343 (2013). doi:10.1038/nature12167 Medline
- 16. H. Wu, D. Wacker, M. Mileni, V. Katritch, G. W. Han, E. Vardy, W. Liu, A. A. Thompson, X. P. Huang, F. I. Carroll, S. W. Mascarella, R. B. Westkaemper, P. D. Mosier, B. L. Roth, V. Cherezov, R. C. Stevens, Structure of the human κ-opioid receptor in complex with JDTic. *Nature* 485, 327–332 (2012). doi:10.1038/nature10939 Medline
- 17. B. Wu, E. Y. Chien, C. D. Mol, G. Fenalti, W. Liu, V. Katritch, R. Abagyan, A. Brooun, P. Wells, F. C. Bi, D. J. Hamel, P. Kuhn, T. M. Handel, V. Cherezov, R. C. Stevens, Structures of the CXCR4 chemokine GPCR with small-molecule and cyclic peptide antagonists. *Science* **330**, 1066–1071 (2010). doi:10.1126/science.1194396 Medline
- J. A. Ballesteros, H. Weinstein, Integrated methods for the construction of three dimensional models and computational probing of structure-function relations in G-protein coupled receptors. *Methods Neurosci.* 25, 366–428 (1995). <u>doi:10.1016/S1043-9471(05)80049-7</u>
- In each helix, the following residues are assigned number 50: T607^{1.50}, I638^{2.50}, I682^{3.50}, I714^{4.50}, L763^{5.50}, A800^{6.50}, and L827^{7.50}. The numbering of other residues in each helix is counted relative to the X.50 position according to the B&W numbering system.
- Superscripts refer to the B&W numbering for class A GPCRs and that transplanted to class C GPCRs.
- 21. G. Suzuki, T. Kimura, A. Satow, N. Kaneko, J. Fukuda, H. Hikichi, N. Sakai, S. Maehara, H. Kawagoe-Takaki, M. Hata, T. Azuma, S. Ito, H. Kawamoto, H. Ohta, Pharmacological characterization of a new, orally active and potent allosteric metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 antagonist, 4-[1-(2-fluoropyridin-3-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3triazol-4-yl]-N-isopropyl-N-methyl-3,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)carboxamide (FTIDC). J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **321**, 1144–1153
- (2007). <u>doi:10.1124/jpet.106.116574</u> <u>Medine</u> (2007). <u>doi:10.1124/jpet.16574</u> <u>Medine</u>
- 22. J. Fukuda, G. Suzuki, T. Kimura, Y. Nagatomi, S. Ito, H. Kawamoto, S. Ozaki, H. Ohta, Identification of a novel transmembrane domain involved in the negative modulation of mGluR1 using a newly discovered allosteric mGluR1 antagonist, 3-cyclohexyl-5-fluoro-6-

methyl-7-(2-morpholin-4-ylethoxy)-4H-chromen-4-one. *Neuropharmacology* **57**, 438–445 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2009.06.017 Medline

- P. Malherbe, N. Kratochwil, M. T. Zenner, J. Piussi, C. Diener, C. Kratzeisen, C. Fischer, R. H. Porter, Mutational analysis and molecular modeling of the binding pocket of the metabotropic glutamate 5 receptor negative modulator 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 64, 823–832 (2003). doi:10.1124/mol.64.4.823 Medline
- 24. S. Litschig, F. Gasparini, D. Rueegg, N. Stoehr, P. J. Flor, I. Vranesic, L. Prézeau, J. P. Pin, C. Thomsen, R. Kuhn, CPCCOEt, a noncompetitive metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 antagonist, inhibits receptor signaling without affecting glutamate binding. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 55, 453–461 (1999). Medline
- A. Pagano, D. Ruegg, S. Litschig, N. Stoehr, C. Stierlin, M. Heinrich, P. Floersheim, L. Prezèau, F. Carroll, J. P. Pin, A. Cambria, I. Vranesic, P. J. Flor, F. Gasparini, R. Kuhn, The non-competitive antagonists 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine and 7-hydroxyiminocyclopropan[b]chromen-1a-carboxylic acid ethyl ester interact with overlapping binding pockets in the transmembrane region of group I metabotropic glutamate receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 33750–33758 (2000). doi:10.1074/jbc.M006230200 Medline
- A. Surin, S. Pshenichkin, E. Grajkowska, E. Surina, J. T. Wroblewski, Cyclothiazide selectively inhibits mGluR1 receptors interacting with a common allosteric site for non-competitive antagonists. *Neuropharmacology* 52, 744–754 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2006.09.018 Medline
- P. Malherbe, N. Kratochwil, A. Mühlemann, M.-T. Zenner, C. Fischer, M. Stahl, P. R. Gerber, G. Jaeschke, R. H. P. Porter, Comparison of the binding pockets of two chemically unrelated allosteric antagonists of the mGlu5 receptor and identification of crucial residues involved in the inverse agonism of MPEP. J. Neurochem. 98, 601–615 (2006). doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.03886.x Medline
- Y. Chen, C. Goudet, J. P. Pin, P. J. Conn, N-4-Chloro-2-[(1,3-dioxo-1,3-dihydro-2H-isoindol-2-yl)methyl]phenyl-2-hydroxybenzamide (CPPHA) acts through a novel site as a positive allosteric modulator of group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 73, 909–918 (2008). doi:10.1124/mol.107.040097 Medline
- 29. C. Mølck, K. Harpsøe, D. E. Gloriam, R. P. Clausen, U. Madsen, L. O. Pedersen, H. N. Jimenez, S. M. Nielsen, J. M. Mathiesen, H. Bräuner-Osborne, Pharmacological characterization and modeling of the binding sites of novel 1,3-bis(pyridinylethynyl)benzenes as metabotropic glutamate receptor 5-selective negative allosteric modulators. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **82**, 929–937 (2012). doi:10.1124/mol.112.078808 Medline
- K. J. Gregory, M. J. Noetzel, J. M. Rook, P. N. Vinson, S. R. Stauffer, A. L. Rodriguez, K. A. Emmitte, Y. Zhou, A. C. Chun, A. S. Felts, B. A. Chauder, C. W. Lindsley, C. M. Niswender, P. J. Conn, Investigating metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 allosteric modulator cooperativity, affinity, and agonism: Enriching structure-function studies and structure-activity relationships. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 82, 860–875 (2012). doi:10.1124/mol.112.080531 Medline
- 31. K. J. Gregory, E. D. Nguyen, S. D. Reiff, E. F. Squire, S. R. Stauffer, C. W. Lindsley, J. Meiler, P. J. Conn, Probing the metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGlu₅) positive allosteric modulator (PAM) binding pocket: Discovery of point mutations that engender a "molecular switch" in PAM pharmacology. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 83, 991–1006 (2013). doi:10.1124/mol.112.083949 Medline
- 32. F. Xu, H. Wu, V. Katritch, G. W. Han, K. A. Jacobson, Z. G. Gao, V. Cherezov, R. C. Stevens, Structure of an agonist-bound human A2A adenosine receptor. *Science* 332, 322–327 (2011). doi:10.1126/science.1202793 Medline
- 33. N. Kunishima, Y. Shimada, Y. Tsuji, T. Sato, M. Yamamoto, T. Kumasaka, S. Nakanishi, H. Jingami, K. Morikawa, Structural basis of glutamate recognition by a dimeric metabotropic glutamate receptor. *Nature* 407, 971–977 (2000). <u>doi:10.1038/35039564</u> <u>Medline</u>
- 34. S. Huang, J. Cao, M. Jiang, G. Labesse, J. Liu, J. P. Pin, P. Rondard,

Interdomain movements in metabotropic glutamate receptor activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 15480–15485 (2011). doi:10.1073/pnas.1107775108 Medline

- 35. E. Doumazane, P. Scholler, L. Fabre, J. M. Zwier, E. Trinquet, J. P. Pin, P. Rondard, Illuminating the activation mechanisms and allosteric properties of metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, E1416–E1425 (2013). doi:10.1073/pnas.1215615110 Medline
- 36. C. Eroglu, B. Brugger, F. Wieland, I. Sinning, Glutamate-binding affinity of *Drosophila* metabotropic glutamate receptor is modulated by association with lipid rafts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 10219–10224 (2003). doi:10.1073/pnas.1737042100 Medline
- J. Meiler, D. Baker, ROSETTALIGAND: Protein-small molecule docking with full side-chain flexibility. *Proteins* 65, 538–548 (2006). doi:10.1002/prot.21086 Medline
- E. Chun, A. A. Thompson, W. Liu, C. B. Roth, M. T. Griffith, V. Katritch, J. Kunken, F. Xu, V. Cherezov, M. A. Hanson, R. C. Stevens, Fusion partner toolchest for the stabilization and crystallization of G protein-coupled receptors. *Structure* 20, 967–976 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.str.2012.04.010 Medline
- M. Caffrey, V. Cherezov, Crystallizing membrane proteins using lipidic mesophases. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 706–731 (2009). doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.31 Medline
- 40. F. Xu, W. Liu, M. A. Hanson, R. C. Stevens, V. Cherezov, Development of an automated high throughput LCP-FRAP assay to guide membrane protein crystallization in lipid mesophases. *Cryst. Growth Des.* 11, 1193–1201 (2011). doi:10.1021/cg101385e Medline
- 41. V. Cherezov, M. A. Hanson, M. T. Griffith, M. C. Hilgart, R. Sanishvili, V. Nagarajan, S. Stepanov, R. F. Fischetti, P. Kuhn, R. C. Stevens, Rastering strategy for screening and centring of microcrystal samples of human membrane proteins with a sub-10 µm size X-ray synchrotron beam. J. R. Soc. Interface 6 (suppl. 5), S587–S597 (2009). doi:10.1098/rsif.2009.0142.focus Medline
- Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. *Methods Enzymol.* 276, 307–326 (1997). doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(97)76066-X
- 43. T. C. Terwilliger, P. D. Adams, R. J. Read, A. J. McCoy, N. W. Moriarty, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P. V. Afonine, P. H. Zwart, L. W. Hung, Decision-making in structure solution using Bayesian estimates of map quality: The PHENIX AutoSol wizard. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 65, 582–601 (2009). doi:10.1107/S0907444909012098 Medline
- 44. G. Bricogne, C. Vonrhein, C. Flensburg, M. Schiltz, W. Paciorek, Generation, representation and flow of phase information in structure determination: Recent developments in and around SHARP 2.0. Acta Crystallogr. D 59, 2023–2030 (2003). doi:10.1107/S0907444903017694 Medline
- 45. T. C. Terwilliger, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P. V. Afonine, N. W. Moriarty, P. H. Zwart, L. W. Hung, R. J. Read, P. D. Adams, Iterative model building, structure refinement and density modification with the PHENIX AutoBuild wizard. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 64, 61–69 (2008). doi:10.1107/S090744490705024X Medline
- 46. G. N. Murshudov, A. A. Vagin, E. J. Dodson, Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr.* D 53, 240–255 (1997). doi:10.1107/S0907444996012255 Medline
- 47. G. B. E. Bricogne, M. Brandl, C. Flensburg, P. Keller, W. Paciorek, P. Roversi, O. S. Smart, C. Vonrhein, T. O. Womack, *BUSTER* (Global Phasing Ltd., Cambridge, 2009).
- P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 66, 486–501 (2010). <u>doi:10.1107/S0907444910007493 Medline</u>
- M. J. Noetzel, K. J. Gregory, P. N. Vinson, J. T. Manka, S. R. Stauffer, C. W. Lindsley, C. M. Niswender, Z. Xiang, P. J. Conn, A novel metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 positive allosteric modulator acts at a unique site and confers stimulus bias to mGlu5 signaling. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 83, 835–847 (2013). doi:10.1124/mol.112.082891 Medline
- 50. K. Hemstapat, T. de Paulis, Y. Chen, A. E. Brady, V. K. Grover, D.

Alagille, G. D. Tamagnan, P. J. Conn, A novel class of positive allosteric modulators of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 1 interact with a site distinct from that of negative allosteric modulators. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **70**, 616–626 (2006). doi:10.1124/mol.105.021857 Medline

- 51. H. Lavreysen, R. Wouters, F. Bischoff, S. Nóbrega Pereira, X. Langlois, S. Blokland, M. Somers, L. Dillen, A. S. Lesage, JNJ16259685, a highly potent, selective and systemically active mGlu1 receptor antagonist. *Neuropharmacology* 47, 961–972 (2004). doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2004.08.007 Medline
- K. Leach, P. M. Sexton, A. Christopoulos, Allosteric GPCR modulators: Taking advantage of permissive receptor pharmacology. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 28, 382–389 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.tips.2007.06.004 Medline
- 53. F. Fazio, S. Notartomaso, E. Aronica, M. Storto, G. Battaglia, E. Vieira, S. Gatti, V. Bruno, F. Biagioni, R. Gradini, F. Nicoletti, R. Di Marco, Switch in the expression of mGlu1 and mGlu5 metabotropic glutamate receptors in the cerebellum of mice developing experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and in autoptic cerebellar samples from patients with multiple sclerosis. *Neuropharmacology* 55, 491–499 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2008.06.066 Medline
- 54. T. Yamasaki, M. Fujinaga, Y. Yoshida, K. Kumata, J. Yui, K. Kawamura, A. Hatori, T. Fukumura, M. R. Zhang, Radiosynthesis and preliminary evaluation of 4-[¹⁸F]fluoro-N-[4-[6-(isopropylamino)pyrimidin-4-yl]-1,3-thiazol-2-yl]-N-methylbenzamide as a new positron emission tomography ligand for metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 1. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 21, 2998–3001 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.03.046 Medline
- E. Vieira, J. Huwyler, S. Jolidon, F. Knoflach, V. Mutel, J. Wichmann, Fluorinated 9H-xanthene-9-carboxylic acid oxazol-2-yl-amides as potent, orally available mGlu1 receptor enhancers. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 19, 1666–1669 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.01.108 Medline
- 56. E. D. Nguyen, C. Norn, T. M. Frimurer, J. Meiler, Assessment and challenges of ligand docking into comparative models of G-protein coupled receptors. *PLOS ONE* 8, e67302 (2013). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067302 Medline
- Molecular Operating Environment, 2013.08; Chemical Computing Group Inc., Montreal (2013).
- H. Lavreysen, C. Janssen, F. Bischoff, X. Langlois, J. E. Leysen, A. S. Lesage, [³H]R214127: A novel high-affinity radioligand for the mGlu1 receptor reveals a common binding site shared by multiple allosteric antagonists. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 63, 1082–1093 (2003). doi:10.1124/mol.63.5.1082 Medline
- 59. C. Goudet, F. Gaven, J. Kniazeff, C. Vol, J. Liu, M. Cohen-Gonsaud, F. Acher, L. Prézeau, J. P. Pin, Heptahelical domain of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 behaves like rhodopsin-like receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 378–383 (2004). doi:10.1073/pnas.0304699101 Medline
- 60. F. Knoflach, V. Mutel, S. Jolidon, J. N. Kew, P. Malherbe, E. Vieira, J. Wichmann, J. A. Kemp, Positive allosteric modulators of metabotropic glutamate 1 receptor: Characterization, mechanism of action, and binding site. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 13402–13407 (2001). doi:10.1073/pnas.231358298 Medline
- 61. G. Suzuki, T. Kimura, A. Satow, N. Kaneko, J. Fukuda, H. Hikichi, N. Sakai, S. Maehara, H. Kawagoe-Takaki, M. Hata, T. Azuma, S. Ito, H. Kawamoto, H. Ohta, Pharmacological characterization of a new, orally active and potent allosteric metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 antagonist, 4-[1-(2-fluoropyridin-3-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3triazol-4-yl]-N-isopropyl-N-methyl-3,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)carboxamide (FTIDC). J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **321**, 1144–1153 (2007). doi:10.1124/jpet.106.116574 Medline
- A. Mühlemann, N. A. Ward, N. Kratochwil, C. Diener, C. Fischer, A. Stucki, G. Jaeschke, P. Malherbe, R. H. Porter, Determination of key amino acids implicated in the actions of allosteric modulation by 3,3'-difluorobenzaldazine on rat mGlu5 receptors. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 529, 95–104 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2005.11.008 Medline
- 63. M. Turlington, M. J. Noetzel, A. Chun, Y. Zhou, R. D. Gogliotti, E.

D. Nguyen, K. J. Gregory, P. N. Vinson, J. M. Rook, K. K. Gogi, Z. Xiang, T. M. Bridges, J. S. Daniels, C. Jones, C. M. Niswender, J. Meiler, P. J. Conn, C. W. Lindsley, S. R. Stauffer, Exploration of allosteric agonism structure-activity relationships within an acetylene series of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGlu5) positive of 5-((3allosteric modulators (PAMs): Discovery fluorophenyl)ethynyl)-N-(3-methyloxetan-3-yl)picolinamide (ML254). J. Med. 56, 7976–7996 (2013). Chem. doi:10.1021/jm401028t Medline

- 64. L. Lundström, C. Bissantz, J. Beck, J. G. Wettstein, T. J. Woltering, J. Wichmann, S. Gatti, Structural determinants of allosteric antagonism at metabotropic glutamate receptor 2: mechanistic studies with new potent negative allosteric modulators. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 164, 521–537 (2011). doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01409.x Medline
- B. A. Rowe, H. Schaffhauser, S. Morales, L. S. Lubbers, C. Bonnefous, T. M. Kamenecka, J. McQuiston, L. P. Daggett, Transposition of three amino acids transforms the human metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR)-3-positive allosteric modulation site to mGluR2, and additional characterization of the mGluR2-positive allosteric modulation site. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **326**, 240–251 (2008). doi:10.1124/jpet.108.138271 Medline
- 66. H. Schaffhauser, B. A. Rowe, S. Morales, L. E. Chavez-Noriega, R. Yin, C. Jachec, S. P. Rao, G. Bain, A. B. Pinkerton, J. M. Vernier, L. J. Bristow, M. A. Varney, L. P. Daggett, Pharmacological characterization and identification of amino acids involved in the positive modulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 2. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **64**, 798–810 (2003). <u>doi:10.1124/mol.64.4.798 Medline</u>
- 67. K. Leach, A. Wen, A. E. Cook, P. M. Sexton, A. D. Conigrave, A. Christopoulos, Impact of clinically relevant mutations on the pharmacoregulation and signaling bias of the calcium-sensing receptor by positive and negative allosteric modulators. *Endocrinology* **154**, 1105–1116 (2013). <u>doi:10.1210/en.2012-1887</u> <u>Medline</u>
- 68. S. U. Miedlich, L. Gama, K. Seuwen, R. M. Wolf, G. E. Breitwieser, Homology modeling of the transmembrane domain of the human calcium sensing receptor and localization of an allosteric binding site. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 7254–7263 (2004). <u>doi:10.1074/jbc.M307191200</u> <u>Medline</u>
- 69. C. Petrel, A. Kessler, P. Dauban, R. H. Dodd, D. Rognan, M. Ruat, Positive and negative allosteric modulators of the Ca²⁺-sensing receptor interact within overlapping but not identical binding sites in the transmembrane domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 18990–18997 (2004). doi:10.1074/jbc.M400724200 Medline
- 70. C. Petrel, A. Kessler, F. Maslah, P. Dauban, R. H. Dodd, D. Rognan, M. Ruat, Modeling and mutagenesis of the binding site of Calhex 231, a novel negative allosteric modulator of the extracellular Ca²⁺sensing receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 49487–49494 (2003). doi:10.1074/jbc.M308010200 Medline
- 71. D. S. Dupuis, D. Relkovic, L. Lhuillier, J. Mosbacher, K. Kaupmann, Point mutations in the transmembrane region of GABAB2 facilitate activation by the positive modulator N,N'-dicyclopentyl-2methylsulfanyl-5-nitro-pyrimidine-4,6-diamine (GS39783) in the absence of the GABAB1 subunit. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **70**, 2027–2036 (2006). doi:10.1124/mol.106.028183 Medline
- Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the NIGMS PSI:Biology grant U54 GM094618 for biological studies and structure production (target GPCR-68) (V.K., V.C. and R.C.S.), NIH Common Fund in Structural Biology grant P50 GM073197 for technology development (V.C. and R.C.S.); R01 NS031373 (P.J.C.), R01 MH062646 (P.J.C.), R21 NS078262 (C.M.N.), a Basic Research Grant from the International Rett Syndrome Foundation (C.M.N.), an NHMRC (Australia) Overseas Biomedical Postdoctoral fellowship (K.J.G.) and a NARSAD Maltz Young Investigator Award (K.J.G.). Work in the Meiler laboratory on computational modeling of membrane proteins and their ligand interactions is supported through NIH (R01 MH090192, R01 GM080403, R01 GM099842, R01 DK097376) and NSF (CHE 1305874). We would like to thank Dr. K. Emmitte and P. Garcia for the synthesis of RO0711401 and FITM; J. Velasquez for help on

molecular biology; T. Trinh and M. Chu for help on baculovirus expression; K. Kadyshevskaya for assistance with figure preparation; A. Walker for assistance with manuscript preparation; J. Smith, R. Fischetti, and N. Sanishvili for assistance in development and use of the minibeam and beamtime at GM/CA-CAT beamline 23-ID at the Advanced Photon Source, which is supported by National Cancer Institute grant Y1-CO-1020 and National Institute of General Medical Sciences grant Y1-GM-1104. The coordinates and the structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 4OR2.

Supplementary Materials

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1249489/DC1 Materials and Methods Tables S1 to S3 Figs. S1 to S13 References (*38–71*)

9 December 2013; accepted 25 February 2014

Published online 6 March 2014 10.1126/science.1249489



Fig. 1. Overall structure of the mGlu₁ TM domain. (A) Cartoon models for structure and endogenous ligand recognition in different GPCR classes. For class A, in most cases, the endogenous ligand (shown in green) is recognized by an orthosteric site in the 7TM domain. For class B, the endogenous peptide ligand (shown in orange) binds to both ECD and 7TM domains. For class C, the endogenous small molecule ligands (shown in yellow circle) are recognized by orthosteric sites in the VFDs. For class F, lipoprotein WNT (shown in magenta) binds the CRD domain of Frizzled receptors. (B) The mGlu₁ 7TM domain that crystallized as a parallel dimer is shown in cyan cartoon. Cholesterols mediating the dimer interface are shown as green carbons. (C) Side chains of the FITM binding pocket residues are shown as white carbons. Hydrogen bond interaction between the NAM and T815^{7.38} is shown as a dashed line. The C_a carbon of G761^{5.48} is shown as a green ball. In (B) and (C), the ligand FITM is shown as yellow carbons.



Fig. 2. 7TM domain comparison of $mGlu_1$ with GPCRs of other classes. Extracellular view of superimpositions between $mGlu_1$ shown in cyan and (A) class A GPCRs shown in salmon, (B) class B GPCRs (CRFR1 and glucagon receptor; PDB ID 4K5Y and 4L6R, respectively) shown in grey, and (C) class F GPCR, smoothened receptor (PDB ID 4JKV) shown in purple. In (A)-(C), shifts of helix V or VII in $mGlu_1$ compared to other classes are indicated by arrow. (D) Extracellular view of the surface presentation of the structure showing the narrow entrance (highlighted by red line) to the allosteric ligand binding cavity. The arrow indicates the extracellular entrance to the allosteric ligand binding cavity. In the surface presentations in (D) and (E), non-polar residues are shown in gray, hydrogen bond acceptors are shown in red, and hydrogen bond donors are shown in blue. PDB ID of class A GPCRs structures used in (A): 1U19, 2RH1, 2YCW, 3RZE, 3PBL, 3UON, 4DAJ, 3EML, 3V2W, 3ODU, 4DJH, 4EA3, 4DKL, 4EJ4, 3VW7, 4GRV.



Fig. 3. Critical FITM-receptor interactions are revealed by mutations and structure activity relationships. (A) FITM is a full NAM of the wild-type (WT) fulllength human mGlu₁ receptor, while the affinity of FITM and the degree of negative cooperativity with glutamate are reduced in (B) T815^{7.38}M and (C) P756^{5.43}S mutants. (D) Structures of FITM and FITM-related NAMs used for study. Binding pose of FITM (yellow carbons; IC₅₀: 5 nM) in comparison with lower potency analogs, (E) compound 17 (green carbons; IC₅₀: 10 nM) and compound 14 (orange carbons; IC₅₀: 230 nM), (F) compound 28 (grey carbons; IC₅₀: 77 nM) and (G) compound 22 (purple carbons; IC₅₀: 2 μ M). Per-residue binding energy ddG is predicted by Rosetta in Rosetta Energy Units (REU) (37). In (E), (F) and (G), side chain rotamers from the top 1% of key amino acids are depicted in sticks and colored corresponding to their respective docked ligand, with the exception of those from the crystal structure shown in cyan; the dashed lines indicate hydrogen bond interactions between the receptor and the ligands.



Fig. 4. The intracellular crevice in NAM-bound mGlu₁ adopts a closed conformation. (A) A cartoon demonstrating agonist triggered opening of the intracellular cavity for G protein binding. (B) Side and (C) intracellular views of the superposition of mGlu₁ (cyan) with inactive state of β_2 -adrenergic receptor shown in yellow (PDB ID 2RH1) and a fully active G protein-coupled state of β_2 -adrenergic receptor shown in orange (PDB ID 3SN6). Red arrows in (B) and (C) indicate movement of the intracellular end of helix VI, highlighted in red dashed circle in (B), during activation of β_2 -adrenergic receptor. (D) Side and (E) intracellular views of the mGlu₁ receptor, the side chains of residues involved in a hydrogen bond network that stabilize the receptor in an inactive conformation are shown as white carbons.



Fig. 5. A full-length mGlu₁ dimer model with highlighted details of interactions between ECL2 and the 7TM-to-CRD linker. (A) Shown in cyan is the extracellular part of the mGlu₁ 7TM. ECL2 residues (M731-I745) are shown as white carbons, while the linker region residues (I581-E587) are shown as yellow carbons. Hydrogen bond interactions between ECL2 and the linker region are shown as dashed lines. (B) Full-length model of mGlu₁ with the VFD in the Acc (active closed-closed) state. VFD, CRD and 7TM domains are colored in slate, firebrick and cyan, respectively. The current model probably does not capture the specific conformation and interaction between CRD and 7TM domain, and a more tightly packed domain interaction is very likely. This model is presented to generate discussion and show the general features of the VFD, CRD, and 7TM domains.